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Our cultural norms are shifting. There is a great renaissance of what we think about when we think about family.¹

I. INTRODUCTION

Marian Robinson exemplifies many characteristics of the classic modern day grandmother. She is a widow, former homemaker, retired worker, and provider of part-time care for two of her grandchildren.² Dubbed the “First Granny,” she had been called a dream grandmother.³ She retired in order to be chief caretaker of Malia and Sasha Obama during President Obama’s first presidential campaign.⁴ First Lady Michelle Obama refers to her as the rock of the family, saying that she provides stability for her granddaughters.⁵


³ Will Obama mum-in-law make it a family affair in the White House?, supra note 2; Parnes, supra note 2.


⁵ Id.
She is also known to be fiercely independent, active in her community, and a great cook. After the historical election of the first African-American President, Mrs. Robinson reluctantly relocated to Washington, D.C. to live in the White House, after residing in the south side of Chicago for over forty years. “They’re dragging me with them, and I’m not that comfortable . . . [b]ut I’m doing exactly what you do: You do what needs to be done . . . .” Her role in the family is pivotal—she is the support network for a two-career couple—shuttling the girls to and from school, to play dates and after school activities.

Mrs. Robinson says caring for her granddaughters is not like a job—“if anyone is going to care for them other than their parents, it had better be me,” she says. She also comments that her daughter Michelle is a strong woman, stating that “she is doing things that I couldn’t do—that is what you want for your children—to live without the restrictions that you had.” The restrictions to which Mrs. Robinson refers reflect the limitations placed on both women and minorities during the 1960s when she was a young mother. Her comments echo those of another grandmother featured in the New York Times article entitled “Your Mother is Moving In? That’s Great.” Dr. Ellen Pulleyblank Coffey is a family therapist and author living in Berkeley, California who shares in the care work of her two-year-old grandson. She calls herself a “feminist grandmother” because she and her husband use their flexible work schedule to care

---


7 Sherwell, supra note 4.


9 See Katie Roiphe, It Takes a Grandma, N.Y. MAG., Mar. 23, 2009, available at http://nymag.com/news/politics/55375/ (describing the arrangement as a “supremely elegant solution to the dilemma of the two-career family” and noting “how heavenly it must be to have built-in babysitting by someone who loves your child as much as you do”); “First Grandmother” Likes White House Life, supra note 6.


11 Id.

12 Green, supra note 1.
for her grandson a few days a week partly so that her daughter and her daughter’s spouse can achieve work-life balance while pursuing their careers.\hspace{1em}^{13}

Mrs. Robinson and Dr. Coffey are not alone. Many other grandparents labor to provide home stability and security for their families. In fact, they are a vital key to the family machine, enabling parents to stay professionally, financially, and emotionally afloat while guiding the next generation into the future. According to data from the U.S. Census Bureau, sixteen percent of the total population, or forty-nine million Americans, lived in multigenerational households in 2008.\hspace{1em}^{14} This trend has grown significantly over the last thirty years; in 1980 this figure was just twelve percent of the population, or twenty-eight million.\hspace{1em}^{15} The reintegration of elders into the nuclear family appears to be both a necessary and welcome change.

Several factors account for this change in the structure of these American families. The recession has accounted for the rapid increase over the last five years, but other factors—such as the crisis in home foreclosures, a rise in immigration, and the surge of aging baby boomers who are taking on financial, physical, and emotional responsibilities of the normative home—also explain this intergenerational dynamic.\hspace{1em}^{16} Grandparent caregiving is necessary because of the demands on working parents and the difficulty of finding affordable child care.\hspace{1em}^{17} The need increases for single parents, who often utilize the care and financial resources of grandparents as

\hspace{1em}^{13} Id.  
\hspace{1em}^{14} The Return of the Multi-Generational Family Household, PEW RES. CTR. 4 (Mar. 18, 2010), http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/files/2010/10/752-multi-generational-families.pdf. The term “multigenerational,” as it pertains to households, is defined as homes where there are two adult generations (with the youngest adult being twenty-five years or older) or three or more generations living together. Id. at 20. The term also includes homes with “skipped generations,” which is a household with a grandparent and a grandchild. Id.  
\hspace{1em}^{15} Id.  
\hspace{1em}^{17} URSULA ADLER FALK & GERHARD FALK, GRANDPARENTS: A NEW LOOK AT THE SUPPORTING GENERATION 49–50 (2002).
though they were the other parent.\footnote{Id. at 25 (“[A]fter the 1950s things changed so dramatically in American social life that the role of grandparents also changed from that of occasional visitor to that of backup parent to the millions of children born to single mothers each year.”).}


This Article delves deeper into analyzing how various laws work together to disadvantage today’s modern grandparent. It also identifies ways in which other countries are attempting to adapt to this shift in family caretaking culture.

Few legal scholars have analyzed how laws outside the family law spectrum of custody and visitation rights affect the caretaking work of grandmothers. The three areas of law highlighted in this Article—employment, tax, and housing—are critical fields of law that have a tremendous effect on the lifestyle of elderly women. They are what this Article refers to as “living room laws” because they are the core economic laws that affect everyday activities of citizens.\footnote{I coined the term “living room laws” to explain the basic laws that impact most families on a day-to-day basis, as well as from year to year. These laws impact families where they live—in their home, at work, and in their economic relationship with the federal government.} Family law is transubstantive, meaning that the laws that apply to family structure and conduct extend beyond state family codes. There are many other areas of law that impact the family, including property, tax, criminal, employment, and tort laws. Since family law intersects with a host of other laws, it is important that we examine how a renaissance movement in family structure would impact the life circumstances and legal rights of grandparent caregivers. In many
ways, this Article builds upon the scholarly discourse developed by other scholars regarding kinship care and continues the conversation that family law scholar Melissa Murray began when she insightfully argued in favor of various new approaches to reframe the legal understanding of caregiving by a network of people, including parents, extended family members, friends, neighbors, and paid caregivers.

Specifically, this Article examines how advocacy for grandparents should reach beyond traditional family laws in order to change the concept of care work within the family. This Article’s focus is not only the manner of caregiving provided by grandparents, but the manner of living—the arrangement in which the family has chosen to reside. What are the legal implications of living under one roof, sharing financial resources to raise children, and sharing in the work of home? Reforms in all three aforementioned areas of law often focus on the burden of work-life balance on parents rearing children without considering the impact on other caretakers who share a primary role in the development of the next generation. This Article argues that expanding grandparents’ rights entails revising the concept of what constitutes the realm of social welfare laws.

This Article argues that current employment, tax, and housing laws discourage elderly females from taking on the role of part-time or full-time caregiver for their grandchildren. Surely, there are many

21 Elizabeth Barker Brandt, De Facto Custodians: A Response to the Needs of Informal Kin Caregivers?, 38 FAM. L.Q. 291, 291 (2004) (noting “[a]lthough grandparents are not the only relatives raising the children of family members, they are, by far, the largest group of kinship caregivers”); Sacha M. Coupet, “Ain’t I A Parent?”, The Exclusion of Kinship Caregivers from the Debate Over Expansions of Parenthood, 54 N.Y.U. REV. L. & SOC. CHANGE 595, 597 (2010) (noting that “[a]lthough far from a recent phenomenon, an increasing number of children are currently being ‘parented’ in the homes of relatives by nonparent caregivers, predominantly grandparents . . .”); Jane E. Cross et al., Families Redefined: Kinship Groups that Deserve Benefits, 78 Miss. L.J. 791, 792 (2009) (advocating for the expansion of legal concepts of family to include kinship relationships); C. Quince Hopkins, The Supreme Court’s Family Law Doctrine Revisited: Insights from Social Science on Family Structures and Kinship Change in the United States, 13 CORNELL J.L. & PUB. POL’Y 431, 440 (2004) (suggesting approach in analyzing the scope of fundamental rights concerning families should be fluid enough to account for cultural differences in kinship structures); Barbara Bennett Woodhouse, “It All Depends On What You Mean By Home”: Toward a Communitarian Theory of the “Nontraditional” Family, 1996 UTAH L. REV. 569, 582-84 (1996) (characterizing “kinships of responsibility” as relationships of household members that are grounded in a sense of obligation rising from cultural, religious, or morally imposed duty, and arguing that these relationships merit legal recognition, including autonomy under the law, protection, and public support).

22 Murray, supra note 19, at 389–90.
grandmothers who do not wish to “retribe” their families through caretaking—they have reared their families and are enjoying their golden years comprised of free time to pursue individual interests. In fact, sixty-eight percent of Americans adults believe it is not a grandparent’s responsibility to help with child care for grandchildren.

Seventy-two percent of grandparents, however, take care of their grandchildren on a regular basis, and thirteen percent are primary caregivers for their grandchildren. The discrepancy in these statistics illustrates several tenets. First, there is a large segment of American parents who either have no other better option for affordable, quality care for their children, or like the First Lady, prefer to have their children cared for by a grandparent. Second, there is a large segment of American grandparents who are either willing or coerced to care for their grandchildren, typically without pay. Third, though Marian Robinson and other grandparents may be not be thrilled by the prospect of retribing, something altruistic drives them to take on the responsibility of caregiving for a second generation.

Whether amenable or coerced, a grandparent’s choice to provide child care has a major socio-legal impact on their lives and

---

23 “Retribalization” is defined as “the act of forming or returning to a tribal group or division.” Merriam-Webster’s Collegiate Dictionary (11th ed. 2008). In this Article, I define “retribe” as the act of forming a social and cultural community with a new generation.


26 Sharon C. Nantell, The Tax Paradigm of Child Care: Shifting Attitudes Toward a Private/Parental/Public Alliance, 80 Marq. L. Rev. 879, 898 (1997) (noting “[w]orking parents in America today need to provide some form of child care assistance to nearly 10 million children under the age of 5. Whether by preference or necessity, more than 40% of these children are cared for by a parent, grandparent or other relative either in their own home or in the home of a relative”); Sharon Jayson, Most grandparents provide care for the grandkids, USA Today, Sept. 6, 2012, available at http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/health/story/2012-09-06/grandparents-support/57611480/1.

27 Nantell, supra note 26, at 898.

28 Id. (noting that seventy-two percent of grandparents think being a grandparent is the single most important and satisfying thing in their life, sixty-three percent say they can do a better job caring for their grandchildren than they did with their own children, and ninety percent enjoy talking about their grandkids to just about everyone).
on society. Grandparents who serve as full-time or part-time caregivers provide approximately $39.2 billion worth of unpaid service to their grandchildren.\textsuperscript{29}Most of this work is borne by women, the burden carriers in most family units, who typically give of themselves sacrificially until an accident, disease, or old age catches up with them.\textsuperscript{30}Many of these grandparent caregivers face financial, health, housing, education, and employment challenges that often foil their retirement plans.\textsuperscript{31}With life expectancy increasing and sources of income decreasing, senior citizens are realizing that they need to continue working or re-enter the workforce in order to sustain themselves.\textsuperscript{32}This Article further explores the question of how government should aid families in supporting its most dependent, vulnerable members. It also analyzes the underlying tensions in balancing the senior citizen’s desire for autonomy and the government’s responsibility to aid in the changing lifestyles of families. Each part of this Article underscores how culture, class, and gender impact the role of modern grandparenting.

This Article proceeds in six parts. Part II sets forth a brief history of the multigenerational family in America and the various types of caretaking arrangements between grandparents and the nuclear family. The Article also discusses the importance of intergenerational caregiving as a means of transmitting culture and values, as well as a means of providing stability and protection from child abuse and neglect.

Part III explores the impact of employment laws on the supporting generation of grandparents. This part analyzes how the different types of grandparent caregiving affect the key sources of retirement income, social security and pension benefits. This part also considers the limitations of the Family Medical Leave Act for grandparents who are intermittent caregivers. Finally, the section

\textsuperscript{29}Ted C. Fishman, Shock of Gray: The Aging of the World’s Population and How It Pits Young Against Old, Child Against Parent, Worker Against Boss, Company Against Rival, and Nation Against Nation 269 (2010).

\textsuperscript{30}Id.

\textsuperscript{31}Goyer, supra note 16.

notes how the persistent undervaluing of care work in society perpetuates inequality within and outside the workplace for aging grandmothers.

Part IV analyzes how current tax laws affect grandparent caregiving. While acknowledging the additional tax benefits allowed for senior citizens, the Article considers how the lack of flexibility within the tax code with respect to who receives benefits for the provision of dependent care does not permit equitable treatment of grandparents who devote a considerable amount of their resources to their grandchildren. This part also notes how Australia provides tax credits for family caregivers and examines whether the United States could implement different tax schemes to buffer the financial sacrifices made by grandparents for the family.

Part V investigates how local housing ordinances can negatively impact the multigenerational family. While aging in place, or staying in their own homes or communities, is ideal for older people, relocation is sometimes necessary. Multigenerational living can be complex with regard to family members having their own space within one residence. This part will explore how accessory dwelling units (ADUs), also known as granny flats or garage apartments, help extended families accommodate one another, and how certain local ordinances hinder the construction of ADUs.

Part VI briefly explores the various tensions created by the multigenerational family structure, including encroachment on the autonomy of senior citizens, health concerns of grandparent caregivers, and economic efficiency and equity considerations. This section catalogs the policy issues that need to be addressed in order to legally support intergenerational caregiving. Finally, this part lays the foundation for theoretical considerations to be addressed in the future regarding how the law can be utilized to create certain social rights and responsibilities shared between the government, individuals, and families.

The Article concludes by arguing that changes to the “living room laws” in America are necessary in order to have sustainable modern-day families. During tough economic times, it will be difficult to find the political will to make the major changes necessary to allow for flexible work schedules for parents in today’s marketplace, additional financial support for child care centers, and other suggested reforms to achieve work-life balance. Before the United States could adopt methods similar to those of other modern countries to deal with the issues of multigenerational living and grandparent caretaking, legislatures and policymakers must address
the social, economic and legal tensions at the heart of caregiving. Making changes in the law to incentivize grandparents to care for their grandchildren could serve as a way to prevent senior citizens from seeking assistance from the government later in life and greatly enhance the lives of children and families in general.

II. MULTIGENERATIONAL LIVING

Marian Robinson's role at the White House as an integral member of the First Family highlights her value to the First couple and their children. Their family also symbolizes a trend within the larger society. The American Association of Retired Persons' (AARP) President, Elinor Ginzler, says that many of the arrangements between grandparents and adult children exist not because the grandparent cannot live on his or her own anymore, but instead, because families believe that having a grandparent in the same household as his or her family makes life better, in part because it allows the grandparent to look after his or her grandchildren. The arrangement is also vital to families like the Obamas that include two working parents. Though Mrs. Robinson was reluctant to move away from all things familiar, she seems to be enjoying her new life. She has said, "I'm able to take care of my two grandchildren when their mother's busy . . . and I am glad to be taking care of them . . . so my life is wonderful." Four years later, Marian Robinson remains a fixture at the White House. Now that President Obama has won a second term, she may continue to reside with the Obamas to guide her grandchildren through adolescence while enjoying her newfound life in the nation's capital.

The populations of the United States and other countries around the world are rapidly aging, and the incredible rise in the senior citizen population in particular is changing the structure of families. Grandparents' roles in the lives of their families have been

---

33 "First Grandmother" Likes White House Life, supra note 6.
34 Eli Saslow, From the Second City, An Extended First Family, WASH. POST, Feb. 1, 2009, at A01, available at http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/01/31/AR2009013101876.html (noting Mrs. Robinson's five surviving siblings lived within fifteen miles of her home, and almost all of her activities revolved around family, including her weekly routine of carpooling with one sister to work, taking a yoga class taught by her brother on Thursdays, going to the hair salon, and shopping with another sister on Saturdays).
increasing over the last decade. A record forty-nine million Americans (16.1 percent) live in a family household that contains at least two adult generations, or a grandparent and at least one other generation. The return of the multigenerational family represents a sharp reversal from the past; between 1940 and 1980, the number of Americans living in such households declined from twenty-five percent to twelve percent. There are several reasons for the growth in multigenerational households since 1980, including an increase in the population of immigrants and the rising median age of first marriage of all adults. The main reason for the sudden spike in the last five years, however, is the Great Recession. During poor economic times the multigenerational family has reemerged, driven partly by job losses and home foreclosures. In some cases, adult children are moving back home with their parents, often with their nuclear families in tow. In other instances, grandparents are moving in with their adult children and grandchildren. For the most part, the grandparents are not in need of physical care—the choice is made for both financial and social reasons.

Today, there are various types of multigenerational living, as well as caretaking arrangements, among family members. For example, forty-nine percent of parents have one of their own parents living thirty minutes or less from them, and ten percent have a parent living with them in their home. Sixty-one percent of parents of two-year-olds to seventeen-year-olds say that grandparents assist with rearing their children. The number of grandparents, as well as senior

---

38 Id.
40 Kochar & Cohn, supra note 39, at 2.
42 The Return of the Multi-Generational Family Household, supra note 14, at 4 (noting “a mix” of social and economic forces).
44 Id.
citizens, is increasing at a fast pace. The 2010 United States Census notes that between 2000 and 2010, the population of citizens sixty-five and older increased at a faster rate (15.1 percent) than the total population (9.7 percent). While the number of older men has increased over the last decade, females continue to have longer life expectancy and lower mortality rates than men. This unprecedented growth in the number of senior citizens will affect society as a whole, and families will be the first to deal with the increased number of grandparents at the table. Because women make up the largest percentage of caregivers, this Article focuses specifically on the grandmothers caring for grandchildren.

There are three predominant types of multigenerational living/caretaking arrangements in our modern day society: (1) custodial grandparenting, (2) “granny-care,” and (3) live-in grandmothers. Custodial grandmothers have steadily increased over the last thirty years due to various circumstances affecting parents, including drug addiction, incarceration, AIDS/HIV illness or death, child abuse, and neglect. According to some scholars, “[t]he escalation in custodial grandparenting is [also] partially the result of the high rate of single-parent families and families in which both partners work outside of their home.” According to the Pew Research Center, there has also been a sharp rise in the number of children being raised by grandparents since the beginning of the Great Recession in 2007 and 2008. Approximately 6.2 million persons over the age of sixty-five live with their grandchildren, and forty-one percent of these senior citizens are full time caregivers for their grandchildren. There are 2.9 million children who live with a

46 Id. at 4–6.
48 Bert Hayslip, Jr. et al., Determinants of Role Satisfaction Among Traditional and Custodial Grandparents, in Custodial Grandparenting: Individual, Cultural, and Ethnic Diversity 21, 21 (Bert Hayslip, Jr. & Julie Hicks-Patrick eds., 2006).
grandparent or grandparents who serve as the primary caretaker. Almost half of these children being raised by grandparents also live with a single parent. Although this phenomenon is more prevalent among African Americans and Latinos, the sharpest increase in such statistics since the recession began has been among whites, rising by nine percent as compared to a two percent rise among black grandparents and no change among Hispanic grandparents.

“Granny-care” is the combination of nanny work and day care in that these grandmothers provide daily care for their grandchildren either in the home of their adult children or in their own home. Granny-care may also encompass part-time care of grandchildren for a parent who works part-time. Live-in grandmothers offer a range of care for grandchildren. Since they live with their grandchildren, there are usually many shared activities with the parents, such as meals, family time, and religious observations. They typically serve in the role as third parent, transporting their grandchildren to school and other activities, helping with homework, cooking meals, and providing after-school care.

A. Brief History of Multigenerational Living in America

American family members are groomed in the tradition of self-sufficiency. The United States practices neolocality, a residence pattern that encourages newly married couples to establish a household independent of extended family. This is the least common residence pattern of most societies; the majority of the world’s cultures are patrilocal societies, where the couples live with or very near the husband’s parents. Most societies use family systems to perform several functions—primarily reproduction, regulating sexuality, and socializing children. Legal age of maturity coincides

---

51 Livingston & Parker, supra note 49, at 1.
52 Id.
53 Id. From 2000 to 2008, there has been a nineteen percent increase in the number of white grandparents serving as primary caregivers for their grandchildren. Livingston & Parker, supra note 49, at 1.
54 I coined the term “granny care” to reflect that these grandmothers serve in same capacity as paid nannies.
55 Id.
58 Id.
59 Id. at 57.
with assent to independent living, although the law is inconsistent as to when a child’s age or actions are indicative of adulthood.

The history of American families has not always reflected independent living. As society has evolved, the residential habits of families have changed. Roberta Coles divides American history into three economic eras: agricultural, industrial, and service. During the agricultural era, between 1500 and 1800, the population consisted of mostly young immigrants, whose elders remained in their country of origin. Households were large and consisted of parents, numerous children, and unrelated people, such as boarders, hired hands, slaves, or servants. Life expectancy was short and homes were small, usually consisting of only one to two rooms. The industrial era, between 1800 and 1970, was a time of new advancements in technology, industry, and medicine, which brought an increase in life expectancy. The United States expanded geographically and in population—38 million immigrants came to the country from Mexico, Asia, and Europe. Multigenerational family households became more common, reaching twenty percent in the mid-to-late 1800s. The service era, which began in the 1970s and continues today, created more jobs in service-sector occupations, and there was a reduction in the need for factory workers due to automation and globalization. Job security has eroded, and an increase in co-provider or dual-income families has forced the renegotiation of gender roles in the home. Postponement of marriage, increased single-parent households because of divorce and nonmarital births, low fertility rates, and a rapidly aging population have resulted in modifications to the normative household structure.

There was a dramatic decline in multigenerational living between elderly individuals and couples over the twentieth century in

---

62 COLES, supra note 57, at 33.
63 Id. at 35.
64 Id.
65 Id.
66 Id.
67 Id.
68 Id., supra note 57, at 39.
69 Id. at 41–42.
70 Id. at 42.
71 Id. at 42–44.
the United States. Economists have explained this shift to more feasible independent living by noting increases in incomes, a sharp rise in Social Security benefits, and general improvement of economic conditions.\(^72\) During the first part of the twentieth century, the rise of big government, the promotion of corporate interests, and the advent of the post-industrial age reduced the importance of grandparents and the elderly.\(^73\)

In the 1940s, adult children made the choice to stay near their parents\(^74\) when determining their career paths and starting their families. This may have been due in part to the experiences that flowed out of the Great Depression. Twenty years later, as the United States rebounded from financial instability and entered the Vietnam War and the Korean War, the civil rights and women’s movements seemed to coincide with the sexual revolution of young adults. Supreme Court decisions reflect the society’s cultural movement from one generation to the next. *Griswold v. Connecticut*,\(^75\) *Eisenstadt v. Baird*,\(^76\) *Roe v. Wade*,\(^77\) and *Planned Parenthood of Missouri v. Danforth*\(^78\) reflect the Supreme Court’s growing recognition of privacy within the family sphere. These decisions, however, still undergirded the traditional nuclear family, which has been “deeply rooted” in American history.\(^79\) The extension of the law’s protection to relatives beyond the nuclear family was established by the Supreme Court’s ruling in *Moore v. City of East Cleveland*, which held that a local zoning ordinance that did not allow a grandmother to live with her two grandsons was unconstitutional.\(^80\)

For low-income families, immigrants, African Americans, and

\(^{72}\) Suzanne M. Bianchi et al., *Intergenerational Ties—Theories, Trends, and Challenges*, in *INTERGENERATIONAL CAREGIVING* 19 (Alan Booth et al., eds., 2008).

\(^{73}\) *Falk & Falk*, supra note 17, at 13.


\(^{75}\) 380 U.S. 947 (1965) (establishing the right to marital privacy for couples using contraception).

\(^{76}\) 405 U.S. 438 (1972) (extending the right to privacy to unmarried couples using contraception).

\(^{77}\) 410 U.S. 113 (1973) (extending the right to privacy to a woman’s decision to have an abortion within the first trimester).

\(^{78}\) 428 U.S. 52 (1976) (upholding a woman’s right to privacy regarding her decision to have an abortion and striking down restrictions requiring parental consent for minors and spousal consent requirements for married women).


Latinos, co-residence is more common. In fact, in their concurrence in Moore, Supreme Court Justices Brennan and Marshall noted that the extended family pattern of living was prominent among immigrants and minorities as a means for survival. Dating back to the nineteenth century, black grandmothers, especially in the rural south, have served as matriarchs of the family. For example, the 1940 census indicated that black children were more likely to reside in the home of at least one grandparent than were white or Hispanic children. This tradition of kinship care was prevalent in the African-American family because women historically worked outside of the home for long hours each week and relatives and neighbors were necessary allies in the care of children.

B. Grandparents as Transmitters of Culture and Values

“Retribing” is defined as forming a social and cultural community with a new generation. Grandparents often take on the responsibility of passing down family and cultural history to their grandchildren, which can play a large part in establishing the identity of children. Because they are not typically burdened with the more difficult tasks involved in childrearing, i.e., setting boundaries and disciplining, grandparents can enjoy leisure time with their grandchildren and discover new activities to share with them. On the other hand, some grandparents may literally engage in a “do over,” where they stand in place of absent parents armed with the wisdom they have gained while rearing their own children. In general, grandparents of various ethnic groups value the cultural traditions that form their identity, such as language, religious practices,

---

81 Kochar and Cohn, supra note 39, at 4–5.
82 Moore, 431 U.S. at 508, 510.
84 Robert Taylor et al., Recent Demographic Trends in African American Family Life, in FAMILY LIFE IN BLACK AMERICA 14, 15 (Robert Joseph Taylor et al., eds., 1997).
85 Green, supra note 1, at D1; Moore, 431 U.S. at 509 n.6 (“The extended family often plays an important role in the rearing of young black children whose parents must work. Many such children frequently ‘spend all of their growing-up years in the care of extended kin . . . .’ Often children are ‘given’ to their grandparents, who rear them to adulthood . . . . Many children normally grow up in a three-generation household and they absorb the influences of grandmother and grandfather as well as mother and father.”) (quoting J. LADNER, TOMORROW’S TOMORROW: THE BLACK WOMAN 60 (1972)).
86 See definition of “retribalization,” supra note 23.
clothing, food, and holidays. In the African-American community, grandparents play a central role in the enculturation of grandchildren, with grandmothers offering a home to their grandchildren, as well as to other orphaned and abandoned children in the community. The West African tradition of grandparents and grandchildren sharing close intergenerational ties continues in many black communities where grandmothers still play a major part in the stabilization of families. Traditionally, “Native American elderly were highly prized for their life experience and roles as cultural transmitters in the community.” Foreign immigrants, including Japanese, Korean, and Middle Eastern families, often hold the elderly in high esteem, as they assume a position of authority and/or responsibility for the care and instruction of the youngest family members.

At times, the culture of the country of origin contrasts with “American” culture. For example, Chinese children are taught that grandparents are honored members of the household. It is a common belief among Chinese that they take care of each other while Americans take care of themselves. For many immigrants, including Vietnamese and Puerto Ricans, first-generation immigrants emphasize family values and filial obligation with respect to family unity and closeness. They encourage married children to live close to their parents and to discuss important life decisions with family members before taking action. As additional descendants of immigrants are born and reared in America, acculturation occurs,

89 Linda W. Rosenweig, “If They Have Any Orders, I Am Theirs to Command”: Indulgent Middle-Class Grandparents in American Society, in American Behavioral History 58, 71 (Peter N. Stearns ed., 2005); FRAZIER, supra note 83, at 115.
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and mainland immigrants lose some of the values that older adults cherish.  

C. Grandparents as Stabilizers and Protectors

The sense of stability that grandparents offer is well documented. From an anthropological standpoint, a grandmother’s presence and help have been shown to influence the reproductive success of kin. Beyond that, multigenerational housing can serve important social policy goals such as strengthening family ties and promoting age integration. The presence of grandparents in the home promotes sharing of parenting responsibilities, decreases involvement of children in delinquent activities, reduces episodes of depression among children, and improves children’s academic performance. Another benefit includes increasing generational solidarity, which helps erode ageism.

From a historical viewpoint, families who immigrated to America from Europe were typically centered around bonding and sharing time with one another. For example, children remained with their
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parents into adulthood, even once they married and started their own family, and family homes were larger and accommodated three different generations on distinct floors or wings of the home. The children benefitted by the constant presence of family and were often raised communally. This is somewhat similar to how Michelle Obama was raised on the south side of Chicago, and perhaps is the type of upbringing she would like to provide for Malia and Sasha Obama in the White House, albeit on a much smaller scale. The First Lady grew up sharing a bedroom with her brother, living with her parents in an apartment above her aunt. Other aunts and uncles lived in close proximity to her family, and she and her brother attended the public school in the neighborhood and attended the church across the street.

Interestingly, there have been significant changes in how the family network coexists around the world. Demographic changes in the nuclear family within the past thirty to forty years—such as parental separation, single parenthood, the formation of stepfamilies, and the increase in working mothers with young children—have impacted children’s well-being in a surprising way. A longitudinal study of intergenerational relationships in Southern California challenges the notion that the decline of the traditional family has resulted in the downfall of American youth. The study was based upon four generations of the same families. The children in the study were born in the 1970s and 1980s, and their parents were born in the 1940s and 1950s. The parents of the children had been studied in 1971, along with their parents and grandparents. The findings revealed that the children were doing “quite a bit better” than their elders as young persons in terms of their aspirations, values, self-esteem, and family relations. The fact that nontraditional or alternative family structures have been successful is supported by the proposition that families have adapted by expanding support across generations. The increased
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interdependence of family members has protected and enhanced the well-being of new generations of children.

Research has also shown that grandparents serve an important role in the prevention of child abuse and childhood injury. Grandparents are another set of eyes, ears, hands, and feet for parents. Working couples and single parents are stretched between demands on the job and demands at home. Grandparents who can drop off and pick up children from day care and school, or babysit in the evenings and on weekends, relieve the demands at home. Depression, material deprivation, and social isolation are contributing factors to child abuse and neglect. Societal isolation has been linked to one theory that explains the prevalence of neglect in our prosperous society. Studies have shown that separation from grandparents and other extended members eliminates a vital support network of material and physical assistance with raising children. In addition to helping with the daily tasks of caregiving, grandparents also pass along their wisdom to parents about handling tough situations with children. They provide another mental perspective to parents, and often serve as a sounding board or confidant for their grandchildren when they are experiencing problems at school, with peers, or with their parents. Finally, grandparents are typically very aware of changes in their grandchildren and can be their strongest advocate when they sense that protection is necessary. Nationally,
twenty-six to twenty-eight percent of calls to child protective services come from nonprofessionals, including relatives, parents, friends, neighbors, and anonymous sources.\textsuperscript{122}

If child abuse or neglect is an issue, oftentimes grandparents eventually become temporary or permanent guardians of the child.\textsuperscript{123} The term “kinship care”\textsuperscript{124} refers to the role that extended family play in taking responsibility for the custody of children whose parents are unable or unwilling to raise them.\textsuperscript{125} The rise in kinship care in the United States has been tremendous with “more than 2.7 million children in this country, an increase of almost 18 percent over the past decade”\textsuperscript{126} being placed with relatives or close friends of the family. Grandparents play a huge role in the foster care system,\textsuperscript{127} essentially subsidizing the state by providing homes to thousands of children at no cost. Over sixty percent of the children involved in child welfare cases come from homes that are at or below the poverty level.\textsuperscript{128} Because many of the grandmothers who sought to gain custody of their grandchildren faced financial challenges, Congress

\textsuperscript{123} Cox, supra note 119, at 10.
\textsuperscript{124} Anna Leonard, \textit{Grandparent Kinship Caregivers}, 6 MARQ. ELDER’S ADVISOR 149 150–51 (2004) (noting the definition of kinship care varies and providing the definition “any living arrangement in which a relative or someone else emotionally close to a child takes primary responsibility for rearing that child”).
enacted the Kinship Caregiver Support Act. This Act provides for three primary services: (1) a Kinship Navigator Program to help kinship caregivers better utilize existing programs for services to meet their needs and the needs of the children they are rearing; (2) federal assistance to states for subsidized guardianship programs to financially assist relative caregivers and their children; and (3) notice to all grandparents (and other adult relatives) regarding the removal of grandchildren from the custody of their parents. Though this Act goes a long way in providing a helping hand for struggling grandparents raising grandchildren on their own, much more needs to be done in the way of support for families.

In addition to being formal kinship caregivers, grandparents also serve as informal caregivers for their grandchildren. Extended families in low-income communities often have a system of exchange where redistribution of resources occurs regularly or on an emergency basis, and can include household or child-care services. Child care may be provided on a short-term basis, consisting of a couple of hours a day or week, or on a long-term basis, spanning weeks or months until the parents can get back on their feet. Often referred to as “swapping” or informal adoption (when offered on a long-term basis) in the black community, the practice is also common amongst Puerto Ricans, who refer to it as “hijos de crianza.”

Kinship care in the African-American community has a long history, dating back to the adaptive response to the destruction of families during slavery and the welfare practices of the mid-twentieth century. Children placed informally with grandparents fare much better than those who are placed in foster care, even though the grandparents are typically poorer, have less formal education, are
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older, and are in worse health compared to non-kin foster parents.  

D. Race, Class, and Gender Dynamics Among Grandparents

While there are many differences among grandparent caregivers with regard to race, class, and gender, they usually derive motivation from one factor: an immense love for their grand progeny. Over time, the role of grandparents has remained the same in certain cultures, while in others it has changed depending on societal changes and the particular needs of the nuclear and extended family as a whole. Ethnicity has a major impact on the status and role of grandparents, and while many minority groups elevate grandparents to a higher social standing, “postmodern” culture threatens to undermine this status. Patriarchal and religious traditions of American families distinguish the role of grandmothers in most families as nurturers and caregivers, but the increase of women in the workplace since the 1960s has partially changed the function of grandmothers from strictly homemakers to employed caregivers. Cultural norms of multigenerational living are more common among multicultural families. In the United States, twenty-five percent of Asians, twenty-three percent of African Americans, and twenty-two percent of Hispanics live in multigenerational homes, in contrast to
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thirteen percent of whites.\textsuperscript{140} Interestingly, regardless of culture, class, and gender differences, the emotional ties between grandparents and grandchildren in today’s society tend to be stronger when grandparents live in close proximity to their grandchildren.\textsuperscript{141}

The African-American family has historically been an embedded household, meaning there is a kin system of generational members and collaterals living in one home, and the “household draws upon a multigenerational approach to the life course.”\textsuperscript{142} Interdependence is essentially a means by which vulnerable family members draw upon the strengths of others in the family.\textsuperscript{143} During slavery, the black grandmother played an instrumental role in the black family—she served as cook, mid-wife, wet-nurse, seamstress, gardener, caretaker to orphaned and abandoned children, family historian, keeper of family secrets, and defender of family honor.\textsuperscript{144} She is often credited with keeping the generations together after emancipation and the responsibility of rearing and supporting grandchildren fell upon her when parents abandoned their offspring\textsuperscript{145} or moved North during the Great Migration to find better work opportunities.\textsuperscript{146} During slavery, grandparents played prominent roles in families by
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socializing and enculturating the young. They also had the ability to check the parents’ authority over the child, creating a “relation of friendly familiarity and almost social equality” with grandchildren.  

The role of African-American grandparents today reflects their high family status from the past. Many see themselves as protectors of the family, “bulwarks against the forces of separation, divorce, drugs, crime—all of the ills [to which] low-income black youth can fall prey[].” They often take on a pseudo-parental role when one parent is absent and the adult child and grandchild are living in the home or nearby. Interestingly, black grandmothers, regardless of family income, marital dissolution, and other factors, tend to exhibit more parent-like behavior towards their grandchildren. This distinctive pattern, though developed during centuries of adversity, has become part of the culture of black families. Middle-income blacks receive a substantial amount of assistance from kin; mothers report that child care was the most important type of help they received from kin, while fathers cite financial help as most important. First Grandmother Marian Robinson models this pattern in the African-American family and “many upwardly mobile parents,” such as Michelle Obama, “stated that they would not have succeeded without the support of kin.”

Among African Americans and Hispanic families, single parenthood increases the likelihood that a grandparent lives with the single-parent family. These households are typically low-income and the exchange system between the adults ensures collective economic survival and builds community. High rates of teen pregnancy among African Americans and Latinos appear normative in many of these communities and indeed, a past study shows that
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There is no expectation of reward when family members give and sacrifice, but ultimately, exchanges come with a sense of mutuality.  

Latino grandparents have a different cultural expectation of their children than black grandparents, and in many ways, the difference is attributed to their status as first-generation or successive generation immigrants in the United States. There have not been as many studies conducted on Hispanic grandparents and the prevalence of multigenerational living and intergenerational caregiving, but the studies that have been done show that the extended family plays a similarly significant role in the Latino community.  

Studies show that Mexicans and Puerto Ricans exhibit higher rates of co-residence and proximate living than whites do. Some analyses suggest that socioeconomic standing matters more in assessing the ethnic differences in kin ties and family structure than culture, and that family integration is based primarily on a combination of ability and need. These studies also show that different Latino groups have similar, yet varied, familial experiences. For example, Mexicans have a higher fertility rate than Puerto Ricans, and this family dynamic makes a difference in the type of instrumental help they offer one another. Because of this variable, Mexicans offer more child care to their family members than Puerto Ricans. Mexican-American grandparents have a high degree of involvement with their grandchildren, socializing them by teaching and speaking Spanish, and imparting other cultural values.  

Latino values of familism are reflected in grandparent caregiving in Hispanic-American communities, as well as a strong emphasis on intergenerational ties. There is a general belief that family
members are morally obligated “to help extended family members who are experiencing financial, health, or other problems.” The extensive caregiving role Latino grandparents play has often “enabled young mothers to complete their education or obtain a job.” When adult children experience financial or other difficulties, Hispanic grandparents perceive themselves as a vital source of assistance, and play “a pivotal role in passing on cultural traditions, common values, language, and customs to their grandchildren.”

Like contemporary African-American grandparents, Hispanic-American grandparents now care for their grandchildren at higher rates in response to crises. These crises include “substance abuse, teen pregnancy, female incarceration, and HIV/AIDS, which have severely affected many low-income Hispanic-American communities.” As a result, grandparent caregivers experience high levels of stress, poverty, poor health, unemployment, depression, and linguistic barriers to accessing services.

In Asian and Middle Eastern families, there is a multigenerational pattern known as “stem family households” in which “the adult sons . . . and their families live with the sons’ parents in the parents’ household.” The grandparents usually maintain the leadership of the household, and “income is often pooled under the authority of the grandfather or the eldest son.” When the grandfather dies, the eldest son will assume control of the household, and the grandmother exercises authority over the daughter-in-laws until the eldest daughter-in-law assumes authority. The practice of stem family extension has declined with immigration—in “60 to 85% of Asian-American extended households, the grandparents reside in their adult children’s households.” Elderly Asian-Americans are more likely to live apart from their children when they have higher education and income, similar to other American senior citizens.

In Chinese, Filipino, and other Asian groups, grandparents have feel a deep sense of family loyalty”).
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a distinct role. Filial piety is of utmost importance. Family, not the individual, is the most significant unit of social organization in Chinese culture. 176 Filipino culture also places a high value on putting family welfare before oneself. 177 Intergenerational obligations are prescribed—child care and elder care are part of a mutual exchange among generations that live together. 178 Grandparents teach grandchildren about their language, ancestors, country, and heritage. 179 One Filipino grandmother provides domestic help for her working adult children, including cooking, cleaning, and physically caring for her grandchildren. 180

In Native-American homes, grandparents are also keepers of culture. While there are various types of families with respect to preservation of traditional values, an in-depth case study showed that “Apache grandmothers were instrumental in sustaining customs, beliefs, and traditions from the past.” 181 Relational bonding provided by relatives is important to cultural identity development in youth and critical to preserving Native-American ways in various tribes. 182

Among the Anishinaabe communities indigenous to the upper Great Lakes region in the United States, there is a vocation of grandparenthood organized around educating grandchildren through example, reproof, and storytelling. 183 The relationship between grandchild and grandmother or grandfather is a central social relation, which the Ojibwe myths and other narratives often
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Grandparents primarily or partially raise grandchildren, and their bond is marked by mutuality, intimacy, trust, and respect. There is an expectation for grandchildren to assist grandparents when requested, as well as for grandparents to serve as the caretakers of the grandchildren whenever needed. Grandparents who are no longer actively working often remain home with small grandchildren while the parents are engaged in other economic pursuits. Interestingly, the relationship is one of equals—grandparents and grandchildren have camaraderie because they are together so often. Fundamentally, they are part of the same circle of life.

In contrast to many ethnic cultures, many American grandparents have a sense that they deserve to enjoy their own pleasures now because they worked hard to raise their children. “The new American grandparent” does not want to lose her autonomy just because she is involved in her grandchildren’s lives. A comparison of Russian immigrant grandparents and Jewish-American grandparents demonstrates a central contradiction in the expressed wistful reminiscence of the fullness of familial bond throughout the generations when they were children:

[Like most other Americans, Jewish American grandparents want intimate, satisfying, stable family ties, but at the same time, they want to retain their independence from kin. They want affection and respect from their children and grandchildren, but they do not want to be obligated to them. The price paid for strong family ties by the Russian immigrants—and by family members in developing countries around the world—is a substantial loss of autonomy. It is a price most American grandparents are not willing to pay.]

Cultural variations impact the functions that accompany the grandparent role. In comparing the various cultural differences, some grandparents are viewed as mentors for younger parents, transmitters of cultural values and heritage, agents of socialization for and influence over their grandchildren, or persons who can enjoy
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their grandchildren but not be responsible for their raising. Familism, a primary influence in Latino and African-American cultures, determines how family members define their relationships with one another, the independence of roles within the family system, role boundaries connected with parenthood and grandparenthood, and household composition and living arrangements. Grandparents have a similar role in most minority families because they hold a great deal of family authority, and adult children, whether married or single, often rely on grandparents for child-care assistance. This function of caregiving is less altruistic and more rooted in the traditional institution of the kinship system, which revolves around shared notions of obligation, mutuality, and reciprocity among specific groups of people.

Women comprise the bulk of caregivers for grandchildren. They account for seventy-seven percent of custodial grandparents. There have not been many studies conducted on grandfather caregivers of grandchildren, but one study showed that forty-five percent of custodial grandfathers still worked, seventy-three percent of them were married, and over half owned their own homes. These statistics reveal that grandfathers have less time to provide hands-on care for their grandchildren, but may have more disposable income to alleviate the financial burdens of being a caregiver. A few noteworthy gender differences exist among custodial grandparents: grandfathers are more likely to suffer higher rates of depression and have lower levels of social support than grandmothers. Grandfathers also reported more difficulties with self-care and felt less confident in a parental role. These
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differences could be a result of the traditional grandparent role as well as the historical socialization of men.\textsuperscript{202}

There exists an interesting dichotomy in the legal construction of youth and the elderly. Both have the capacity for independence, yet great vulnerability lies all around them. Martha Fineman’s concept of the “vulnerable subject” defines both children and aging adults.\textsuperscript{203} Though both will become or were at some point a liberal subject capable of independence and personal responsibility, they each will grow from or deteriorate to a physical and mental state of dependency.\textsuperscript{204} Moreover, the two groups comprise the largest number of poor in the United States. According to the 2010 United States Census, the poverty rate of the elderly is ten percent, and twenty-one percent of American children live in families below the federal poverty level, with an additional forty-two percent of children living in low-income families.\textsuperscript{205} In addition to their physical and cognitive weaknesses, the economic class status of children and the elderly increases their vulnerability. Environmental risks and lack of access to proper nutritious food and health care are some of the issues poor elderly individuals and children face.\textsuperscript{206} The vulnerabilities they deal with are quite similar and where their interests converge, an opportunity arises for the state to consider legal and policy changes such that each group could help meet the needs of the other.\textsuperscript{207}

“Compared with traditional grandparents, custodial
grandparents are 60% more likely to be living below the poverty threshold. Their numbers are also increasing—nineteen percent of custodial grandparents were living below the poverty line according to the 2000 United States Census and in 2010, this number jumped to twenty-five percent. There are many grandparents who struggle to make ends meet each month and are forced to return to the workforce in order to supplement their social security and/or pension. Other grandparents live far away from their families and rarely see their grandchildren. Because of financial constraints, frequent travel is not an option. These grandparents are resigned to forego caregiving for their grandchildren, and they age without the comfort of having loved ones nearby.

While a certain segment of grandparents are indigent, a much larger percentage of senior citizens in this country spend two trillion dollars on consumer goods and services, which equals one-third of consumer spending overall. In fact, grandparents control the majority of financial assets in the United States today. Grandparents typically own their homes outright, and persons over sixty-five have experienced a greater increase in employment than younger adults during the recession. They also lead thirty-seven percent of U.S. households. This means the voting power of senior citizens is quite considerable and will continue to grow as their numbers and lifespan increase.
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III. EMPLOYMENT LAWS AND POLICIES AFFECTING GRANDPARENT CAREGIVERS

Marian Robinson’s life in the White House is an interesting paradigm for considering the impact of employment rights on grandparents who, like her, opted to work full-time as family caregivers. Grandparents make up a larger percentage of today’s workforce than they did in the past, primarily because the average age of a first-time grandparent is forty-eight.217 There is a significant difference between forty-eight and seventy-one, the age of Marian Robinson when she retired from her bank job to help take care of her granddaughters before the 2008 presidential election.218 Many grandparents could arguably have seventeen to twenty-four more years of employment before considering retirement. For this reason, it is important to consider how laws governing employment and retirement impact this growing segment of the population.

Before exploring how employment and tax laws affect grandparent caretaking, it is important to acknowledge gender inequities that exist for women and men in the workplace.219 This gender inequality increases as women and men become parents.220 Much of the inequality that exists for young mothers in the marketplace continues as they age and enter the rank of grandmother. For example, women who choose to remain out of the
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workforce after marriage so they can remain home to rear their children never realize any income for their work as caregiver.\textsuperscript{221} While their husbands and families benefit from the imputed income derived from their household services, they do not earn any individual social security benefits or enjoy any of the other benefits made available to employees by the federal or state government or private employers.\textsuperscript{222}

Similarly, grandmothers who choose to leave the workplace or reduce work hours in order to provide care for grandchildren, or who retire and subsequently end up providing granny-care, do not earn any additional social security benefits or pension for this type of work. They could even end up reducing the amount of money available to them through the Social Security system and their 401K or pension plan after they retire, depending on their age at the time of retirement and the terms of their plans.\textsuperscript{223} Grandparents essentially save the parent(s) from having to pay a large part of childcare expenses for children under the age of five. Once children enter school, grandparents often provide vital after-school care, which is an extra expense parents could incur. While this is a huge windfall for the parent, the grandparent sacrifices present and future income. This may not be a problem for middle to upper class grandmothers, but for poor and lower-middle class grandmothers, many of whom are minorities, this loss of income can be a major issue. Moreover, the assumption that women have a choice between family life and work life neglects the fact that the majority of caretakers in the United States are women, due to traditional gender roles and socialization.\textsuperscript{224} Like Marian Robinson, many women feel that they must do what they have to do for family.\textsuperscript{225} Long-established customs of kinship caregiving amplify this sense of obligation in many minority families.\textsuperscript{226}
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Moreover, research suggests that grandchildren are disadvantaged in co-residence family structures that are affected by the marital status and gender of the head of household, as well as the presence of parents within the household. The grandmothers who are raising grandchildren alone suffer because they have no one to help shoulder the burden of providing care and financial support. In addition, "they suffer because of their low earnings and labor force participation relative to grandfathers—grandmother householders earn on average about $13,000 less a year and are 15% less likely to be employed than grandfather householders."

Employment policies regarding insurance coverage also negatively affect grandparents raising grandchildren. Because most insurance policies do not allow grandparents to obtain coverage for their grandchildren under their employer-provided health insurance, they are forced to purchase an individual policy, which may be prohibitively expensive. Moreover, grandparents who must leave the workforce or reduce their work hours may forfeit health care coverage all together or have access to limited-benefit health care coverage for part-time employees. It should be noted that the implementation of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in 2014 will allow for all employees to have health care coverage regardless of the type of coverage offered by an employer. It remains to be seen how this will affect the number of hours worked by older employees, but a
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stronger safety net in places like Australia have produced more part-time employees among mothers and older employees.\textsuperscript{233}

From an economic perspective, it appears as if the intergenerational transfer of time by grandparents toward the care of their grandchildren, rather than toward work or leisure time, has positive benefits for the labor market.\textsuperscript{234} This altruistic act by retired grandparents encourages labor supply since the parents can increase the amount of time they spend on market work with free child care. Higher income for parents results in capital accumulation that might be comparable to monetary transfers by grandparents, if they had money to bequest to their adult children or grandchildren.\textsuperscript{235} In other countries, like Japan, where multigenerational living comprises one-third of the households, the female labor supply is higher when a married couple of childbearing age lives with their parents or in-laws, and child care provided by the grandparents is the major reason for co-residence.\textsuperscript{236} The question remains whether this intergenerational transfer is cost-effective and healthy for grandparents.

A. \textit{Family Medical Leave Act}

Congress passed the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) in 1993.\textsuperscript{237} The Act is designed to give employees flexibility to take time away from work for urgent family or medical needs.\textsuperscript{238} One of the provisions of the FMLA entitles an employee to twelve weeks of leave for the birth or placement of a son or daughter, to bond with a newborn or newly placed son or daughter, or to care for a son or daughter with a serious health condition.\textsuperscript{239} The private sector workforce in America limits utilization of this law—only employees who work for companies with fifty employees or more have the right to take up to twelve weeks of job-protected leave per year\textsuperscript{240} and the employees must have worked at least 1,250 hours for the employer.
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before they have standing to request the leave.\textsuperscript{241} About half of the U.S. workforce is not covered by FMLA because of the number of employers with fewer than fifty employees, and fifty-six percent of women are not covered.\textsuperscript{242} It is also not available to part-time employees.\textsuperscript{243}

Furthermore, the FMLA “makes no provision for paid leave and is thus unaffordable for lower-income workers.”\textsuperscript{244} Notwithstanding these restrictions, the law only allows certain persons to qualify as family members. The Act was amended in 2009 and part of the revisions clarified who qualifies as a family member.\textsuperscript{245} Only spouses, children, or parents count.\textsuperscript{246} The FMLA does not allow grandparents to take intermittent leave to care for grandchildren, unless they are \textit{in loco parentis}—standing in the place of parents.\textsuperscript{247} It is important to note that Congress realized that many children in the United States do not live in traditional “nuclear” families with their biological father and mother.\textsuperscript{248} There are an increasing number of persons, including adoptive, step, or foster parents, guardians, and grandparents or other relatives, who are in need of workplace accommodation for their child-care responsibilities.\textsuperscript{249} Whether an employee stands \textit{in loco parentis} to a child will depend on the
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There are a few cases that have considered the issue of whether a grandparent stood *in loco parentis* to a child in order to take time off work to care for the family member. In some of the cases, the grandchild was the adult worker, and one of the legal issues before the court was whether or not the grandparent was in fact a caretaker for the adult worker when he or she was a child. In *Ruble v. American River Transportation Co.*, an employee in Missouri filed suit against his employer alleging that his statements to his employer regarding his relationship with his grandmother and his grandmother’s illness were sufficient to trigger FMLA protection. Jack Ruble asserted that his employer unlawfully denied his FMLA leave request and terminated his employment by construing his FMLA leave as an unauthorized absence. In order for an employee to seek FMLA benefits based on an *in loco parentis* relationship, the employee must furnish his employer with enough facts to show that such a relationship must exist. Though Ruble made different statements to his supervisor and personnel manager regarding his grandmother, he did indicate that his grandmother took care of him, which was enough to create a factual issue to survive summary judgment according to the District Court.

In *Dillon v. Maryland-Natural Capital Park and Planning Commission*, the court held that an adult grandchild cannot take FMLA leave in order to care for a grandparent under normal circumstances. The adult grandchild can only attain FMLA approval if she can prove that the grandparent was a primary caregiver and acted as a parent when she was a child. In *Dillon*, Cynthia Dillon requested time to visit with her seriously ill grandmother and find a suitable place for her to live in the midst of an approved vacation time period. At issue was whether her grandmother stood *in loco parentis* for her when she was a child. Ms. Dillon claimed that her grandmother raised her, and that although
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her mother was around, she was with her grandmother all of the time. Furthermore, Dillon’s mother became a mother at sixteen and her grandmother financially provided for both of them for a substantial part of Dillon’s life.

An interesting part of the court’s legal analysis was that it was not necessary for the adult grandchild’s parents to be absent from the child’s life. Significantly, the court’s consideration of child caregiving and support reached beyond the normative opposite-sex married couple to acknowledge ways in which some families must raise children according to different life circumstances. The court’s recognition of alternative family caregiving networks should be an important foothold for the argument that FMLA should be extended to grandparent caregivers who do not necessarily take the place of a parent, but rather stand alongside parents providing necessary care for children. Ultimately, Dillon’s case went to trial and a jury did find that her grandmother stood in loco parentis and that her employer unlawfully interfered with her rights under the FMLA.

In other cases, the matter before the court dealt with whether the worker stood in loco parentis to a grandchild. In Martin v. Brevard County Public Schools, a grandfather was fired while on FMLA leave that was approved so that he could take care of his infant grandchild while his daughter was deployed overseas. Regarding whether Anthony Martin was in loco parentis, the court determined that the factual circumstances surrounding Martin’s role in his granddaughter’s life were sufficient to create a genuine issue of material fact. Martin’s daughter, a single mother, lived with him and his wife, along with his granddaughter. He provided his grandchild with a home, food, and health insurance, and he assumed sole responsibility in caring for his grandchild when his daughter was at school or Army Reserve drills.

In Hoskins v. Pridgeon & Clay, Inc., the United States District
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Court of Michigan granted summary judgment against the plaintiff where the plaintiff had been terminated for abusing her FMLA leave. Although Doreen Hoskins was approved for intermittent FMLA leave due to asthma, she lied about taking two days off for FMLA over a holiday weekend when she was actually watching her grandchild because her daughter had no babysitter. The court agreed with the Dillon court’s interpretation of the term in loco parentis when it stated that babysitting was not an FMLA-protected activity.

Some states have different coverage than FMLA, with more generous definitions of relatives for which employees can care. For example, Oregon’s definition of “family member” includes the employee’s grandparent, grandchild, or parent-in-law, or person with whom the employee has or had an in loco parentis relationship. The District of Columbia has a very broad definition of “family member” that includes all relatives by blood, legal custody, or marriage, and anyone with whom an employee lives and has a committed relationship. In Hawaii, the legislature has included “grandparent” and “grandparent-in-law” to fit within their statutory definition of family. Comparatively, more states recognize domestic or civil union partners as family members than grandparents under their definitions of the term. The growing trend of state governments recognizing a variety of different types of families within their leave laws should eventually lead to mobilization to amend the FMLA.

Another recent amendment to the FMLA for military families may also push the envelope for expanding the federal law to address work-life balance. The National Defense Authorization Act for
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Fiscal Year 2008 (NDAA) added two new provisions that provide time off for a “qualifying exigency” related to a covered service member on active duty or call to active duty status and for “caregiving” of a covered service member with a serious injury or illness. The NDAA extends the amount of time an employee can take off to twenty-six work weeks (six months), does not cap the age of a child at eighteen years, and expands the definition of a family member to “next of kin,” which is defined as “the nearest [other] blood relative.” For the first time, siblings, grandparents, and others have federal job-protected time off because of the inclusion of “next of kin” in the NDAA’s caregiver provision. Inevitably, policymakers will raise questions regarding “why next of kin only qualify within the military provision.”

Some European governments have expanded their employment policies to allow grandparents to request “flexible working” and transfer of parental leave. For example, Germany and Hungary allow parents to transfer parental leave to a grandparent if they are seriously ill or disabled or if they are a teenage parent. In an emergency, working grandparents are entitled to up to ten days paid leave to care for a grandchild. Portugal’s leave policies are more generous—grandparents can take up to thirty days per year and receive a financial allowance to care for a sick child when working parents are unable to do so. British groups have rallied for the government to support two weeks of “granny leave” and other flexible working options, citing the hardship endured by vulnerable grandparents living on low incomes.
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282 Sellgren, supra note 278; Hilary Osborne, Grandparents risk hardship by taking on childcare, THE GUARDIAN, Mar. 2, 2010, available at http://www.guardian.co.uk/money/2010/mar/02/grandparents-childcare-pay-hardship (noting that nearly two-thirds of grandmothers who had given up work or reduced their hours to care for their grandchildren were managing on a very low household incomes).
Rights Commission and Grandparents Plus found that among single-parent families, two-thirds rely on grandparents for child care, and “children of these families are nearly twice as likely to experience economic hardship as the wider population.” The groups further stated that the contribution of grandparents should not be ignored because without their free child care, many parents would not be able to work. These organizations highlight the need for recognition of grandparents and better emotional, financial, and practical support for them. Their argument is essentially that the government should recognize that “grandparents provide the last line of defense between millions of children and the poverty line.”

The situation of grandparents in many European countries mirrors that in the United States and the government should consider utilizing some of the methods used in those countries to expand the FMLA to include grandparents and grandchildren. Prominent family law scholar Joan Williams has argued that the FMLA should expand its definition of family to include grandparents and other nontraditional families. While case law such as Ruble, Dillon, and Martin illustrate that grandparents who live in multigenerational homes and act in ways similar to parents regarding the care and responsibility of their grandchildren could be considered to be in loco parentis to a child, FMLA should provide job-protected leave for grandparents who provide substantial short-term care for their grandchildren when there is no other safe, affordable alternative. Stark choices for vulnerable members of the workforce lead to a reduction in work hours or an early and unplanned exit from the labor market. In the worst-case scenario, the choice between work and child care could lead to an increase in child neglect, with some young children being left home alone or in the care of unsafe adults. Amending the FMLA to accommodate modern family dynamics could help families provide safe care for children as well as assist elderly women caretakers in avoiding poverty.
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B. Social Security Benefits & Pensions

For the average American, Social Security and pension payments provide the basis for income after retirement from work. Social Security benefits have been in place as universal insurance for loss of income caused by retirement, disability, or death for over seventy years. The Social Security system has been credited with lifting a large portion of elderly Americans out of poverty. It provides forty percent of the income of people age sixty-five and older. Notably, significant portions of the elderly that survive off of social security are women.

In order to be eligible for social security retirement benefits, a person must be at least earn forty Social Security Work Credits over her lifetime, which is the equivalent of ten years of earning full credits. A credit is based on a certain amount of taxable income, and a maximum of four credits can be earned per year. In order to be entitled to the full retirement benefits, a worker must be between sixty-five and sixty-seven years old, depending on when the person was born. At sixty-two, a worker can retire early, but with reduced benefits. The average age of retirement is sixty-five, and some individuals are not retiring until their seventies.
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senior citizens in today's workforce is commonplace. Research shows that approximately thirty-four percent of men and twenty-four percent of women in the workforce are sixty-five years old or older.\footnote{REPORT OF THE TASKFORCE ON THE AGING OF THE AMERICAN WORKFORCE 8 (Feb. 2008), available at http://www.aging.senate.gov/letters/agingworkforcetaskforcereport.pdf.}

Social Security is considered only one of the three stools of retirement.\footnote{Moore, An Overview of the U.S. Retirement Income Security, supra note 293, at 5.} Pension and individual savings are the other two income sources upon which senior citizens have depended for sustenance during their non-working years.\footnote{Id. at 9, 41.} A variety of circumstances have changed for Americans over time—there are fewer employers that provide pensions, a third of the population do not earn enough money to save, and increased health costs threaten to outpace retirement income.\footnote{AARP PUBLIC POLICY INSTITUTE, BOOMERS APPROACHING MIDLIFE: HOW SECURE A FUTURE? 18, 30, 43 (1998), available at http://assets.aarp.org/rgcenter/econ/d16687_boomers.pdf.} The fact that people are living longer, and half of the baby boomer generation will depend on Social Security benefits for half or more of their retirement income is an indicator that the three-legged stool is not enough to cover the costs of retirement living.\footnote{Id. at 9, 41.} Multigenerational living may increase in the future because it will help the older generation live within their means. It also provides a way for younger family members to help with daily tasks for elderly grandparents who are unable to afford living on their own without paid health care workers to tend to them.

Pensions are a vehicle by which a worker can receive a portion of her compensation and accrue investments on the return at a tax-free rate until the benefits are paid, which is usually after retirement or when the worker reaches a certain age.\footnote{See ALAN L. GUSTMAN, ET AL., PENSIONS IN THE HEALTH AND RETIREMENT STUDY 24, 26–27 (2010).} There are two major types of pension plans, defined benefit plans and defined contributions plans.\footnote{Id. at 6. Defined benefit plans usually provide a lifetime benefit, where the amount of the benefit is established by a formula that bases periodic disbursement on earnings history at the company, time worked under the plan, and age. Id. Defined contribution plans are investment accounts held in the name of the employer, and the money is invested in mutual funds or other vehicles. Id. Defined contribution plans are not indexed for inflation, and returns are variable. See Moore, supra note 302, at 6.} Most workers whose jobs are covered by defined benefit
plans are required to participate in the plan, while workers whose jobs are covered by defined contributions plans typically participate on a voluntarily basis. The value of a pension plan depends on whether an employee has tenure and reached a certain age, or with regard to a defined contribution plan, whether the assets have vested, or become the property of the employee. Depending on the type of plan, there may be some benefits or detriments to working beyond retirement age. Two-fifths to one-half of the baby boomer generation do not have current pension coverage, but by 2030, four-fifths of this generation will have pension coverage. However, the value of the pensions will not be much greater than they were in 1990. This is mostly due to women having lower pensions than men, and workers having shorter job tenure and lower wages.

One of the primary financial consequences of grandparent caregiving is the loss of income from either leaving a job altogether or reducing the number of hours worked. For retired grandparents, this intergenerational time transfer of care is a worthy cause. A reduction in work hours before retirement, however, could permanently reduce a pension payout. Switching from full-time to part-time results in a decrease in income as well as time contributed toward pension or retirement benefits. The average employee where the firm, employee, or both contribute to the account. The return that the account earns depends on how the balance is invested, and the employee decides the rate of withdrawal from the account.
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working-class person would not be able to afford a twenty-five to fifty percent reduction in his or her pension.\footnote{Anne Kadet, \textit{Will you need a job when you’re 75?}, MSN.COM (Apr. 11, 2012, 4:01 PM), http://money.msn.com/retirement/will-you-need-a-job-when-you-are-75-smartmoney.aspx.} Moreover, a retirement or pension plan is not available for grandmothers in certain types of jobs\footnote{M. L. Reig, \textit{The Unspoken Poor: Single Elderly Women Surviving in Rural America}, 9 ELD\textsc{er} L.J. 257, 265–72 (2001); Lorraine A. Schmall, \textit{Transnational Issues of Women and Pension Security and Reform}, 14 N.Y. INT’L L. REV. 87, 93–95 (2001); Schmall, \textit{Women and Pension Reform}, supra note 220, at 677.} and they are entirely dependent on social security.\footnote{Jennifer L. Morris, supra note 221, at 576.} For example, elderly women who live in rural areas are more likely to have been employed as agriculture workers or within a family business.\footnote{Reig, supra note 307, at 268-69.} These jobs do not have employer-provided pension plans, and some women are only eligible for Social Security benefits as spouses at the minimum wage level.\footnote{Id. at 269.} Women also tend to have more part-time positions, are less likely to be union members, and work at smaller firms.\footnote{Schmall, \textit{Women and Pension Reform}, supra note 220, at 677.} The combination of longer life spans for women and inequitable Social Security benefits, especially for divorced women and women of color,\footnote{Jennifer L. Morris, supra note 221, at 572–73, 577–79; Dorothy A. Brown, \textit{Pensions, Risk, and Race}, 61 WASH. \& LEE L. REV. 1501, 1514–1518 (2004); WOMEN’S INST. FOR A SECURE RETIREMENT, \textit{UNIQUE CHALLENGES FACED BY WOMEN IN PREPARING FOR AND MANAGING THEIR RETIREMENT YEARS} (Mar. 15, 2006), available at http://www.wiserwomen.org/index.php?id=250&page=Women_Face_Uniq.} means that grandmothers who forego paid work to care for their grandchildren are more vulnerable to poverty.

In the United Kingdom, the government has found a solution to assist grandparents who provide a large share of caregiving for their grandchildren. It offers Grandparents’ National Insurance Credits, which allow grandparents to continue receiving credits toward their full state pension when they take time off to care for children.\footnote{1 DEC. 2009, EARLY DAY MOTION, Grandparents’ National Insurance Credits, H.C. (2009) (U.K.).} At least thirty years of National Insurance contributions are needed to qualify for the full basic pension.\footnote{National Insurance Contributions Act, (2011) (Eng.), available at http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/3/enacted.} About half of the eleven to fourteen million grandparents in the United Kingdom are under the age of sixty-five and about a third spends the equivalent of three days
a week caring for grandchildren. The proposed motion recognizes the sacrifices of grandparents in many ways, stating that they “are fundamental to the success of the Government’s welfare reform strategy as lone parents are particularly reliant upon grandparental child care.” The proposed motion further notes that “9 out of 10 grandparents who provide child care do so for no payment or financial reward.” Finally, it acknowledges that the grandparents who provide this care are overwhelmingly women.

Recent United Kingdom studies regarding intergenerational patterns of support and involvement of family members outside of the household reveal that grandparents have frequent contact with their grandchildren and seventy-six percent of those with a grandchild under six reported they felt “very close” to their grandchild. The research further revealed that because of the sharp increase in maternal employment (from twenty percent in 1981 to forty-nine percent by 1999), between twenty and forty percent of families had grandparents providing care for grandchildren. The “frequency of grandparent care varied with access to other types of care, with grandparents less involved in families who had access to paid help.” Grandchildren were more likely to be cared for by grandparents in families with financial problems, unstable marital status, and where grandchildren were born to single mothers. The study also showed that grandparents provided protection for children and decreased their risk of behavior problems, emotional difficulties, and problems at school as a result of parental separation and formation of new partnerships. Grandchildren who were part of the study reported that they intimately confided in grandparents most frequently about family worries and transitions.

A recent study showed that grandparents in the United Kingdom
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are the dominant form of care for infant children where the mother was employed or in school.\textsuperscript{333} Once children enter school, grandparents continue to have a significant role in child-care arrangements, particularly during holiday periods.\textsuperscript{334} They pick children up from child care, preschool, or school and look after them until parents finish their workday.\textsuperscript{335} Grandparent care can be more flexible than formal care because grandparents can step in when care is needed, such as in an emergency or when other care provisions break down.\textsuperscript{336}

The idea of social security credits, or “homemaker credits,” for stay-at-home mothers has been suggested and critiqued by tax scholars.\textsuperscript{337} If the United States were to adopt a solution similar to the United Kingdom’s to expand the Social Security options for grandparent caregivers, it would not help the most vulnerable grandparents. The majority of custodial grandparents do not receive Social Security benefits and eighty-five percent do not receive any type of public assistance.\textsuperscript{338} They typically have a lower level of education, which impacts their ability to earn a living while raising a grandchild.\textsuperscript{339} It would help the grandparents who are the working poor—those who need financial assistance but are caught in between the official poverty line and basic subsistence. It would also help those grandparents in the middle class stay firmly within the standard of living to which they are accustomed.

IV. TAX LAWS AFFECTING THE SUPPORTING GENERATION

The United States Tax Code assumes that parents, whether married or single, are the primary caretakers for children, and offers various ways for parents to account for the expense and care costs of children.\textsuperscript{340} There are four ways that parents with dependent children can benefit from the tax code: (1) household and
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dependent care credit for expenses necessary for gainful employment; (2) employer dependent care assistance programs; (3) allowance of deductions for dependents; and (4) a child tax credit for being a parent of a child. The tax code does not account for the fact that other family members sacrificially support children in significant ways. There are limited ways in which a grandparent who provides substantive care for a grandchild can obtain tax benefits.

Grandparents or other related caregivers of children can take advantage of tax benefits if they can show that the children are living with and dependent on them for care, shelter, and support. If the grandparent stands in loco parentis and is the physical and/or legal custodian of the child for at least six months out of a year, she can obtain a tax benefit for financially supporting her grandchild as a dependent. The earned income tax credit or the child care tax credit may be available, depending on the age of the grandparent and/or on the age of the child. In skipped-generation multigenerational families where the grandmother is a single head of household and responsible for the full-time care of her grandchildren, she can take advantage of the same tax deductions as a single parent. The type of tax benefit a parent or grandparent can obtain depends on his or her income tax bracket.

Grandmothers who provide “granny-care” or live-in grandmothers who reside with their adult children and grandchildren have fewer options under the tax code than custodial grandmothers. Grandparents can claim a dependent tax credit as long as the grandparent is not generating a dependency exemption under section 151 to the employed parent or parent’s spouse. Most grandmothers are not paid in monetary terms for the care service they provide because their services are considered as in-kind income
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or imputed income. If grandmothers are paid for their services, these payments are considered taxable income under current tax law. However, the tax code treats intra-family transfers of in-kind income from services as invisible domestic work.

Some might argue that it is the role of grandparents to spoil their grandchildren and give them what their adult children are unable to provide. While this may be a true assessment of the function of many grandparents today, another truth must be recognized. The world and the United States are aging at shocking rates, and while the number of senior citizens explodes, their life span extends as well. Many recent publications have noted that the baby boomer generation will outlive their retirement and savings, so all of the money and time that they are sacrificing today for their children and grandchildren may come back to haunt the baby boomers in the future. Moreover, a number of elderly women are poor—ten percent live below the poverty line, and a striking fifty percent would be poor without Social Security. While class is a vital barometer to access whether senior citizens will be solvent in the future, how the government taxes caregiving could have an impact on the financial status of the elderly across the spectrum.

It should be acknowledged that there are a variety of tax benefits for elderly citizens over the age of sixty-five. The tax code provides for a credit for the elderly, and the amount depends on the individual’s marriage and filing status. The federal government does privilege individuals sixty-five years and older by allowing certain income to be non-taxable. Only a certain amount of Social Security benefits, including Medicare benefits, are taxable. In addition, public assistance payments, grants for home rehabilitation, veteran’s benefits, compensation for sickness or injury, and certain mortgage
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assistance payments are also non-taxable income.\textsuperscript{356} Senior citizens and their spouses (if sixty-five years or older) also are each allowed an additional $1,000 exemption for age, which results in double the total deductions from gross income.\textsuperscript{357}

Notwithstanding the tax benefits already available to senior citizens, this Article argues that grandparents who take on the role of caregiver for a grandchild should be able to take advantage of some tax allowances for family responsibilities. Family laws and “living room laws” that constrict legal recognition of caregiving to the parental dyad must expand to account for extended family members who serve as a member of a trifecta, or in some instances as the other parent. This Article proposes two different schemes for grandparent caregiving, with limitations depending on the income of the grandparent. The first scheme involves grandparent tax credits, and the second scheme establishes a decreased tax rate.

Before expounding on these two proposed schemes, it would be impossible to suggest changes to the federal tax code for grandparents caring for children without acknowledging the tax treatment of child caregivers and the devaluation of work in the home. These complex issues have been addressed by many other scholars and various suggestions for change have been proposed to combat the inherent inequality for married mothers who remain out of the marketplace, as well as single mothers who work, in order to raise their children.\textsuperscript{358} Moreover, critical tax theorists have highlighted the ways in which the outdated tax code is biased against minority families as well.\textsuperscript{359}

Tax laws favor a traditional family model where there is one single earner and the other spouse remains home in order to care for the children.\textsuperscript{360} It has long been established that child care
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expenditures are personal in nature and cannot be deducted even when incurred so that parents can work.\textsuperscript{361} For couples with children where both parties work, their taxes increase because of the additional market income, whereas couples with a single earner enjoy the benefit of joint marital taxation and the marital rate structure.\textsuperscript{362} This tax policy effects middle income parents a great deal because most middle income families require both parents to work in order for the family to remain in the middle class.\textsuperscript{363} Since the person that typically earns the most income in white families is the male, tax incentives favor women remaining out of the workplace where they are uncompensated for their child-care labor.\textsuperscript{364} Additionally, the racial implications of the marriage bonus for traditional family models are that more married African-American women work in the market place than married white women, and they contribute a higher percentage of income to the household.\textsuperscript{365}

Though the value of household services for child care is over $145 billion, taxes are only imposed on market activities; therefore, a significant portion of household income is sheltered from taxation.\textsuperscript{366} Feminist tax scholars maintain that this lower tax liability comes at the price of encouraging women to take financially insecure, subordinate roles within the family.\textsuperscript{367} Moreover, because Social Security benefits are directly tied to taxation, women’s access to this resource is greatly restricted if they remain at home and out of the job market for the majority of their lives.\textsuperscript{368} Although married women can derive Social Security benefits based on their husbands’ waged labor, women often fail to obtain these benefits due to divorce, death,
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or because their husbands do not qualify for Social Security.\textsuperscript{369} As a result, women, especially minority women, suffer high poverty rates as they become senior citizens.\textsuperscript{370}

Scholars have analyzed the tax systems in various countries in order to consider how the U.S. tax system could be readjusted to improve the work-life balance for parents and caregivers of children.\textsuperscript{371} Norway, Sweden, Denmark and Finland provide paid leaves of absence for all working parents as well as high quality universal daycare for children regardless of their parents’ income.\textsuperscript{372} The social insurance system and tax generated revenue cover a large portion of these benefits and the financial burden is shared amongst all individuals, with or without children.\textsuperscript{373}

Australia has devised a tax system that theoretically provides tax credits for parents and third-parties caring for children, as long as the third-parties are partial or sole caregivers of children.\textsuperscript{374} This tax system provides assistance for grandparents who do not live in the same household as the parents of the child.\textsuperscript{375} Grandparents or other “carers” may be eligible for the Family Tax Benefit, Childcare Benefit, or the Childcare Rebate.\textsuperscript{376} The Family Tax Benefit Part A helps with
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the cost of raising children, and payment is assessed based on the family’s combined income. It is paid per child, similar to the Child Tax Credit in the United States. The Family Tax Benefit Part B provides extra assistance for families, including single-parent families, with one primary income. Rent assistance is available for “carers” and the amount depends on the family situation and the amount of fortnightly (bi-weekly) rent. The Australian tax code appears to allow both parents and third-party caregivers to claim tax benefits based on their care of the child, in contrast to the United States tax code which allows only one person (either one parent filing separately, parent filing jointly, or third-party who can prove child dependence) to claim benefits. Australia’s tax system effectively supplements the fixed income of elderly relatives and rewards them for caregiving work performed outside the marketplace.

In addition to the tax credits given for raising children, there has been an extension of tax initiatives and pension schemes that would serve to compensate family caregivers. According to the Australian Government Family Assistance Office, grandparents or relatives caring for a child in a formal or informal arrangement may be eligible for assistance. Formal arrangements include those where there is some state or territory welfare authority management over the care of the child or a family law parenting order is in place. Informal arrangements are those where family members have come to a private agreement about who cares for the child, but grandparents and relatives are generally not eligible for assistance if the parents of the child also live in the same household as them.
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A. Grandparent Credits

One possible solution this Article proposes for consideration is to give caregiving grandparents a non-refundable tax credit on their taxes if they can show proof of child care for fifteen to twenty hours of a forty-hour work week. As a result, such grandparents would either have less of their income taxed or would receive a tax refund.

The current Dependent Care Tax Credit only benefits custodial grandparents because it covers expenses incurred for child care for the taxpayer who maintains the household in which the child resides. Additionally, the grandparent must be employed and have provided fifty percent of the dependent’s support for the taxable year. If married, both spouses must be employed or seeking gainful employment. Thus, retired custodial grandparents are not eligible, and neither are couples where one spouse is retired.

B. Decreased Tax Rate

A public policy action that could help support custodial caretakers for children is a decrease in the overall tax rate for custodial grandparents who acquire custody via certain situations, such as incarceration of a parent, death of a parent, or mental illness of a parent. Though relatives caring for a child on a full-time basis can take advantage of the child-care tax credit and the dependent care exclusion, these may not be enough for elderly caregivers living on a fixed income with a greater likelihood of continuing and/or worsening health conditions. Economic support through expansion of welfare, child support payments, Medicaid, and housing subsidies are unlikely to occur during the current economic recession and with the rising United States debt. A tax cut would provide direct material assistance to the grandparent and could increase the economic stability for the household.

With respect to custodial single grandmothers, the idea of a decreased tax rate would increase the grandparent’s take-home pay (if still employed) and decrease the amount of taxes taken out of

---

385 Frank C. Morris, Jr., Vice President Biden’s Middle Class Task Force—Supplemental Material, CS006 ALI-ABA 1577, 1620 (2010) (noting “the Child and Dependent Care Tax Credit provides no break for out-of-pocket caregiving expenses paid on behalf of an elderly relative who does not live in the taxpayer’s home. We should consider modifying this credit to cover taxpayers who do not live with their elderly parents or grandparents.”).

386 I.R.C. § 152 (West 2007).

387 Id.

388 Id.

389 Id.
pension/retirement payments. The decreased tax rate could be limited to custodial grandparents with an income less than a certain amount, such as $40,000, and this amount could increase depending on how many children resided with the grandparent, i.e., $5,000 extra per child (with a maximum of four children). Child support would ordinarily not be feasible because of the parent’s circumstances.

C. Household and Child Care Expenses

Grandparents cover an increasing amount of child care expenses for their grandchildren including day care, education costs, and clothing.390 Even though grandparents are paying a substantial amount of child-care expenses, they are not able to claim their grandchild as a dependent unless the custodial parent is absent.391 The question of who constitutes head-of-household in a multigenerational home is worthy of reconsideration. Should it be the homeowner or the parent of the child? This Article argues that it could be a shared benefit when there is a multigenerational home and the grandparent is technically head-of-household, but the parent(s) are contributing significantly to the household and care of the children.

This reform would not cost the government any money because the head-of-household deduction would be split between two persons instead of one. It would allow the grandparent to replenish a small portion of the resources expended to support grandchildren who are draining the income of aging citizens. There is a stronger argument for this reform to be allowed for senior citizens on fixed incomes. Allowing the rising number of grandparents under the age of sixty-five who are actively part of the workforce to take advantage of this deduction, however, would incentivize family members to share in the responsibility of raising children as opposed to the state picking up the tab via welfare payments.

D. Unpaid Services

Even if parents wanted to pay a grandmother some amount of money for her care work, tax law prevents the parent from claiming a credit for these payments unless the grandparent is treated similarly to a nanny or daycare provider, and is not a dependent of the parent. Section 262 of the Internal Revenue Code denies all deductions for

---

personal, living, or family expenses. Dependent care is not an exception under this section of the code. Although payments to a grandmother for child care are taxable wage income, it could be treated as a tax-free gift to a relative, and gifts are similarly not deductible because of the prohibition on personal, family, or living expenses.

In order to get around this long-settled tax law, the United States tax code would have to be reformed through legislation to allow parents the option of paying grandparents for the care of their children regardless of the dependency status of the grandparent. While this may conflict with the altruistic motivation of grandparents to care for their grandchildren, it would enable grandparents who choose to retire early in order to provide full-time caregiving for grandchildren the opportunity to obtain their full Social Security benefits by continuing to earn credit for working. Allowing grandparents to be paid for their care work gives value to their contribution, recognizes their sacrifice for the family, and incentivizes a choice that would support their grandchildren’s well-being. A tax credit could be given to the parent—which they could give back to the grandparent—and the grandparent could be taxed at a significantly lower rate.

V. HOUSING ISSUES FOR MULTIGENERATIONAL FAMILIES

Multigenerational living requires sufficient space to house up to three generations. While Marion Robinson occupies the third floor of the White House, many other families face the practical issue of accommodating a large number of people in a smaller residence. A rapid increase in the United States senior citizen population makes affordable housing a critical issue for aging baby-boomers. By 2050, senior citizens are expected to comprise almost twenty-one percent of the country’s total population. The majority of this group will be female, due in part to the shorter life expectancy of males, and ten to fifteen percent of seniors will be living at or below the federally defined poverty threshold. The General Accounting Office (GAO)
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identified housing affordability as the “single greatest problem facing elderly households” in 2003. Because many seniors are on a fixed income and require specialized housing, which is not always readily available, it is important for municipalities to provide alternatives to nursing homes and assisted-living facilities. Most seniors prefer to “age in place” so that they can maintain their independence in the community with which they are familiar. Others may be required or desire to relocate, like Marion Robinson, to the location where their adult children reside. In either situation, when multiple generations share space, different housing options must be considered.

Affordable housing is also a growing issue for young adults and families. Since “American family composition has become more diverse and smaller[,] young singles and older persons living alone have become a dominant group.” Less than one-quarter of American households are comprised of married couples with children. Increased debt, record unemployment, and nearly three million housing foreclosures have affected a large number of families
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during the Great Recession.\footnote{John W. Schoen, Study: 1.2 Million Households Lost to Recession, MSNBC.COM (Apr. 8, 2010, 9:53 AM), http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/36231884/ns/business-eye_on_the_economy/t/study-million-households-lost-recession.} Families who lose their homes may move in with other family or friends, and high numbers of foreclosures are predicted to continue as an increasing number of families walk away from their homes.\footnote{Id.} In sixty-five percent of multigenerational households, the grandparent is the owner of the house.\footnote{Id. at 56.}

While the White House is quite a large dwelling space for the multigenerational First Family, most American homes are not equipped to provide an adjoined, separate area for adult family members’ independent living. As discussed above, the United States practices neolocality, a residence pattern that “encourages newly married couples to establish a household independent of either extended family.”\footnote{Coles, supra note 57, at 139.} Zoning restrictions serve to encourage and reinforce neolocality, setting limitations on property use from the type of structure that can be built to the number and type of people who can reside therein.\footnote{Id. at 56.} While multigenerational families are not restricted from living together anymore, there are still housing ordinances that restrict the manner in which families can share space.\footnote{Liebig et al., supra note 400, at 157–159.}

A. Accessory Dwelling Units

In particular, there are zoning policies and actions that inhibit accessory dwelling units (ADUs), also known as granny flats, accessory apartments, or second units.\footnote{The Supreme Court recognized the constitutional right of extended family members to reside in a single-family home together in Moore v. City of East Cleveland, 431 U.S. 494 (1977). A zoning ordinance which narrowly defined “family” was determined to violate the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause. Id. at 499–500.} ADUs are separate living quarters on single-family lots that “are equipped with kitchen and bathroom facilities, and can either be attached or detached from the main residence.”\footnote{Office of Pol’y Dev. & Res., U.S. Dep’t of Hous. & Urban Dev., Accessory Dwelling Units: Case Study 1 (2008), available at http://www.huduser.org/portal/publications/adu.pdf.} There are many benefits of ADUs. All family members

can enjoy the independence of homeownership by utilizing ADUs as an intergenerational approach to housing, as any one generation can “host” another generation.\textsuperscript{410} ADUs allow aging grandparents who may be exhibiting some health issues to maintain a level of independence without the high costs of moving to assisted living and nursing home environments.\textsuperscript{411} Furthermore, seniors who struggle to meet rising property tax bills and energy costs as well as routine maintenance expenses can utilize ADUs to age in place, which is healthier for them.\textsuperscript{412}

Many states do permit ADUs and have allowed for former illegal buildings to become legal.\textsuperscript{413} There are many more states that do not permit ADUs, however, because of restrictions on housing density “as a means of retaining neighborhood character and limiting problems of noise, parking, [and] loss of privacy . . . .”\textsuperscript{414} The states where ADUs are legal have found ways to address these concerns within ADU programs that require special permit review and also require that the occupant of the ADU be a member of the immediate family and of a certain age.\textsuperscript{415} There may also be limitations on the number of persons who can reside in an ADU. Every three to five years, the permit usually must be renewed with current proof of all conditions.\textsuperscript{416} There are some instances where these restrictions serve as a roadblock to multigenerational housing. For example, when there is a separate living space that could be rented by a homeowner, local ordinances require that the head-of-household live in the main house.\textsuperscript{417} Essentially, a grandmother could not allow her adult child and his or her family to live in the main house and take the apartment above the garage. This type of restriction would also prevent a senior citizen from aging in place by inhibiting them from
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living in the smaller unit while renting out the main home to a young family.

In Hawaii, the government has allowed the construction of “ohana” dwelling units for well over thirty years. The Hawaiian word “ohana” means extended family. Though the initial law did not restrict the use of the additional housing to family members, use of the term “ohana” gave homeowners that mistaken impression. Multigenerational living is a common residence pattern in Hawaii because of the land scarcity, the high cost of property, and economic constraints. In addition, family culture in Hawaii supports older relatives being taken care of by their adult children, and adult children often remain at home with their parents in order to save up to purchase their own home. Hawaii has the highest percentage of multigenerational families in the U.S. The ohana law has been amended over time, and the current law allows for counties to adopt reasonable standards to permit the construction of two single-family dwelling units on any residential lot.

B. Ordinances Restricting ADUs

Many local ordinances make it difficult for single family homeowners to add a grandmother suite on to an existing home. In most communities, landowners are required to obtain a permit to build an ADU. The permit can be acquired “as of right” by a simple application process or through a more arduous conditional use process that involves a hearing. Municipalities may impose standards on ADUs that include parking, height, setback, lot
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coverage, architectural review, and maximum size of unit. These standards can be quite prohibitive depending on the size of the lot or the density of the municipality’s population. For example, a local ordinance could require at least one parking space for each bedroom of an ADU. A smaller size lot may not be able to accommodate the prescribed number of parking spaces, and there may also not be enough spaces available on the street.

C. ADU Model State Act and Local Ordinance

The ADU Model State Act and Local Ordinance set forth by the AARP Public Policy Institute provides states and local jurisdictions with model legislation to assist them in developing regulations for the creation of ADUs. Three factors were highlighted as reasons for necessary policy changes in housing: changing demographics, changing economics, and changing community goals. All state and local ADU legislation, ordinances and court cases were reviewed by the authors, and they also conducted interviews with state and local officials and ADU experts over a period of two years. The authors completed further analysis and follow-up interviews across various regions and states in order to obtain a national perspective on ADU issues.

The Model State Act provides a method to authorize and adopt a local ADU ordinance. It sets forth justifications for ADUs and establishes rules that local officials must follow for the aforementioned adoption. The Model Local Ordinance includes provisions that local officials can incorporate into their existing zoning ordinance to specify the requirements that must be met by a homeowner in order to obtain a permit to build an ADU. The local ordinances provide three different options for varying communities, ranked as “optimal,” “favorable,” and “minimal,” based on their potential to increase the availability of ADUs. Comments that would help policymakers and community members draft ordinances that reflect their desires and concerns are also listed after each
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option. If all fifty states were to adopt the Model State Act and local ordinances were to follow suit and design ADU-friendly laws, grandparents could have national support on this housing issue. In order for large-scale action to be taken, the federal government could tie release of federal funding for housing to compliance with the ADU Model State Act and Local Ordinance.

VI. SOCIAL, ECONOMIC & LEGAL POLICY TENSIONS AT THE HEART OF CAREGIVING

Several family law scholars and practitioners have examined the idea of the American social contract, government support of families, and the need to address the conflict between work and family. Others have explored issues regarding employment and tax from a child-centered perspective. The solutions offered range from transformation of the work environment in order to better accommodate parents to provision of universal quality day care. Grandparent caregiving is often a substitute for paid child care by parents, and until recently, by the state as well. The multigenerational family is being utilized in the private sphere as a tool to support family members who are interdependent—and it either reflects the choice of citizens to reject autonomy for a more co-dependent life experience, or the coerced decision of seniors to sacrifice independence, economic solvency, and personal health for family survival. Increased government support for grandparents would undergird the familial bonds that already exist and naturally converge between young and old. The benefit that society receives from this interest convergence includes more stability and security for children as they are groomed into citizens, more support for marital

435 COBB & DVOVAR, supra note 426, at 13.
437 WALDFOGE, supra note 240 (setting forth key elements of a policy package for children, families, and working parents that center on family choice, quality care for children, and support for employment).
and non-marital couples, and rescue for struggling single parents. Imagine grandparents as the third wheel for a tricycle or the second wheel for a regular two-wheel bike. In either situation, they provide the necessary support for the family structure that exists to keep moving forward.

There are a host of tensions that arise from legally supporting intergenerational caregivers. While this Article is unable to fully address each of these challenges, it will set forth the issues that need to be resolved through careful analysis in a future piece. The following is a roadmap of the territory that must be traversed to promote valued care work for children and eventually, grandparents themselves.

A. Interest Convergence of Babes and Elders

1. Vulnerability

As mentioned earlier, the very old and the very young share the same kind of vulnerability. Martha Fineman’s concept of the “vulnerable subject” contrasts children and the elderly, the prototypical examples of vulnerable populations, with the universal human condition. Rather than utilize the limited and negative association of the term “vulnerable,” Fineman expands the definition to encompass every person who is susceptible to harm, injury, or misfortune. By virtue of human embodiment, Fineman asserts that the possibility of devastating accidental or intentional events in life is unavoidable. By acknowledging this universal position, she argues that societal institutions, including the government, should provide assistance during these periods of vulnerability. It is difficult to rebut that the state should not be a responsive and responsible institution when there is a shared vulnerability tied to the human reality. Human beings need one another, and the commonality of caretaking by family members as they age marks a point where the human condition of children and the elderly converge.

One example of an important way that seniors and youth interests converge is through the Social Security system. As stated before, the pay-as-you-go structure relies on present-day workers to pay into the reserve so that elderly retired workers can receive
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benefits. Because of the swell in the number of baby boomers, the ratio of workers to retirees will be reversed and significantly lower than in the past. Moreover, the quality of education provided to youth now will affect their ability to rise to the middle class. Since there is a higher birth rate among minorities than whites, Latino, African American, and other minority children will make up the majority of the workforce in twenty years. The quality of secondary education for minority youth has long been called into question because of underfunded and often segregated school systems. Unless the majority of white baby boomers recognize the link between them and tomorrow’s generation, they may be living on much less than they expected if educational and economic opportunities for minority youth do not improve.

2. Lower Resources

A glaring similarity between the youngest and oldest members of our society is the commonality shared between their caregivers. They are mostly women who work outside the home, and they spend more than twenty hours per week providing unpaid care to a child or elderly parent. Their unpaid contributions total more than $450 billion. Just as family members comprise the nation’s core long-
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term care (LTC) system, grandparents make up at least thirty percent of the caregivers for children under the age of five whose mothers are employed.\footnote{See U.S. CENSUS BUREAU, Who’s Minding the Kids? Child Care Arrangements: Spring 2010 – Detailed Tables, Table 1A (2010).} They both suffer the loss of wages, health insurance, and other job benefits, retirement savings, and social security benefits, which has serious consequences for caregivers.\footnote{Gibson & Houser, supra note 449, at 3; Richard Kaplan, Federal Tax Policy and Family-Provided Care for Older Adults, 25 VA. TAX REV. 509, 511–515 (2005).} “The cost of funding more services and supports for caregivers is minute compared to the value of their contributions.”\footnote{Gibson & Houser, supra note 449, at 7.}

Some of the suggested support for caregivers of the elderly emulates the suggestions made in this Article and by other legal scholars regarding caregivers of children. Expansion of the FMLA to cover more workers, for longer periods, with pay, is a similar solution. Further, allowing care for more than just “a serious health condition” would enlarge the scope of options caregivers would have in order to provide ongoing health or educational assistance to an elderly relative or young child. Another analogous suggestion is the provision of financial assistance through a tax credit for caregiving.\footnote{Id. at 8 (“A $3,000 tax credit . . . would help to offset some of the direct expenses of eligible caregivers.”).} The theories for additional government support of the elderly and the very young stem from the recognition that the family as a private entity is a necessary societal institution for fulfillment of the public social contract.\footnote{See FINEMAN, THE AUTONOMY MYTH, supra note 19, at 228, 233; see also Martha Albertson Fineman, “Elderly” as Vulnerable: Rethinking the Nature of Individual and Societal Responsibility, 20 ELDER L.J. 71, 86–88 (2012).} As the needs of seniors and children synchronize, it is useful and efficient for law and policy considerations to coordinate the comparable demands on their caregivers.

3. Limited Choices

Young children and the elderly face another common circumstance because of their vulnerable condition—limited choices in care providers. Care for elders and babes is either provided by a spouse, an adult child or parent in the home; by a paid caretaker in a facility such as an assisted living home or daycare; or by a relative or friend in their home or the home of the vulnerable subject. While the federal government provides some options for care for small children and senior citizens, only persons in certain categories qualify for these programs. A relatively small group of children from lower-

Since the government identifies provision of care to individuals as a private family matter, there is no comprehensive program such as universal daycare for children or the elderly provided to the general public. In lieu of an extension of the social welfare net, the government could opt to incentivize the social assets\footnote{Fineman, The Vulnerable Subject, supra note 203, at 13 (noting the systems in society that provide resources, advantages, or coping mechanisms when people face misfortune). Fineman cites to Peadar Kirby and his reference of these systems as “assets” that give individuals resilience in the face of vulnerability. Id. Social assets are “networks of relationships from which we gain support and strength, including family and other cultural groups and associations.” Id. at 15.} already in place to deal with the vulnerability that comes with age at the beginning and end of life. There are many other worthy social services that the federal government incentivizes through tax refunds and credits for individuals and corporations.\footnote{Nancy J. King & Brian J. King, Creating Incentives for Sustainable Buildings: A Comparative Law Approach Featuring the United States and the European Union, 23 VA. ENVTL. L.J. 397, 410–11 (2005); Marianne Tyrrell & John C. Dernbach, The “Cash For Clunkers” Program: A Sustainability Evaluation, 42 U. TOL. L. REV. 467, 487–88 (2011).}

**B. A Difficult Dance**

Studies on the impact of caring for grandchildren on grandparents’ health are mixed. The effect of grandparent care depends on several factors, namely the characteristics and context of the caregiving situation.\footnote{Mary Elizabeth Hughes et al., All in the Family: The Impact of Caring for Grandchildren on Grandparents’ Health, 62(2) J. GERONTOL. B. PSYCHOL. SCI. SOC. SCI. S108, S109 (2007).} One study illustrates that senior citizens who babysit for children actually have health benefits because they lead more active lifestyles, eat healthier meals, or reduce smoking.\footnote{Id.} The National Institute of Health conducted a study to examine the health effects of caring for grandchildren on grandparents’ health in 2007. The study revealed that there was “no evidence to suggest that
caring for grandchildren has dramatic and widespread negative effects on grandparents’ health and health behavior. Where health disadvantages appear among grandparent caregivers, the findings suggest that these health issues are as a result of prior characteristics rather than provision of care. Grandmothers who care for children in skipped-generation households are more likely to experience negative changes in health, behavior, depression, and self-rated health.

C. Leave Me Alone

Grandmothers everywhere should beware. Their quiet years—playing Scrabble, reading books, drinking tequila sunrises—are distinctly imperiled.

A conflict arises when one pushes a certain type of family structure, even an intergenerational one; namely, it subverts the will of some family members. One of the social tensions highlighted by intergenerational caregiving is the lack of desire on the part of some grandparents to provide care for their grandchildren. This attitude could be from the notion that they want to be left alone or from the inability to provide care due to health issues. The gendered construction of caregiving results in what may be a forced choice and continuing inequalities for aging women. Historically women have usually sacrificed much for their family’s well-being. Mrs. Robinson’s comment that “you do what you have to do for family,” typically equates to some negative consequence for the women in the family. Because women are typically the caregivers in most families, this issue of choice affects them the most. Reforms in the areas of employment, tax, and housing are intended to expand their choices, not constrict them into a definite role. It must also be acknowledged that there could be negative consequences for the grandchildren, depending on the aptitude for caretaking of the grandmother, as well as whether her disinterest in caretaking creates a precarious environment for the children. Striking a balance between incentivizing options for grandparents and forcing decisions based on economic circumstances must be addressed.
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D. The Sandwich Generation

While government may not take on the burden of providing care for all children, it does bear the cost of family instability affecting children. The legal treatment of care work provided by family members is significant in determining questions of equity and efficiency pertaining to government shouldering familial responsibilities. Caregiving by grandparents is a two-sided coin. On the one hand, they often provide a critical, free, or low-cost support network for the offspring of their adult working children. On the other hand, as they age, their need for care increases, and their adult children must juggle aiding them in daily activities while balancing the needs of their own nuclear family and work. The “sandwich generation” consists of working, middle-aged, baby boomers caught in between raising children and caring for aging parents at the same time.\footnote{Peggie R. Smith, supra note 247, at 365; Beverly Sanborn & Sally Bould, Intergenerational Caregivers of the Oldest Old, in FAMILIES: INTERGENERATIONAL AND GENERATIONAL CONNECTIONS 125, 135 (Susan K. Pleifer & Marvin B. Sussman eds., 1991).} The dilemma that the sandwich generation faces is an economic standoff between payment for their children’s future or their parents’ decline.

Approximately a quarter of adult children over the age of fifty care for their aging parents.\footnote{THE METLIFE MATURE MARKET INSTITUTE, THE METLIFE STUDY OF CAREGIVING COSTS TO WORKING CAREGIVERS: DOUBLE JEOPARDY FOR BABY BOOMERS CARING FOR THEIR PARENTS 2 (June 2011).} “The percentage of adult children providing personal care and/or financial assistance to a parent has more than tripled over the last fifteen years.”\footnote{Id.} Both women and men lose a significant amount in wages, pensions, and social security benefits because they leave the labor force earlier than expected due to caregiving responsibilities.\footnote{Id.} A conservative estimate of the total loss for the average fifty-year-old male or female caregiver is $303,880.\footnote{Id.} The difference between the loss of earnings in income and retirement benefits for men and women is approximately a little over $50,000.\footnote{Id.}

The sandwich generation is short on time and money. They do not have enough time to provide on-going, long-term care for their aging parents, continue to work full-time, and parent, nor do they have enough money to put their children through school, pay for the
additional costs of caretaking for their parents, and cover the costs of their life after retirement. As with child care, women bear the brunt of caretaking of elderly family members, but men also do a fair share of caretaking of aging parents. As support amongst family members is exchanged, another fundamental question to address is how much the government should aid families in supporting its dependent members.

E. Altruistic Care Work vs. Paid Grannies

The very essence of being a member of a family is being a part of a group that loves and cares for one another because of blood ties or committed life-long relationships. Because grandparents care for their grandchildren and sacrifice for them out of love, the argument could be made that there is no reason that the government should intervene in the private choices of senior citizens when they opt to take on unpaid care work rather than continue to build their nest egg. However, this argument does not justify turning a blind eye to the needs of the elderly population when their altruistic choices or family obligations will ultimately result in a burden on the state.

Marketized care has been criticized by those on the left and the right. The assumption made by both camps is that paid and unpaid care workers are motivated by very different things. Caregivers “who work for money are motivated purely by materialism, self-interest, and greed, whereas those who work without pay are motivated by altruism, spiritual values, and affection.”

Ethnic studies scholar Evelyn Nakano Glenn discusses the idea of “social citizenship” and how it should be redefined in order to “make care central to the rights and entitlements of citizens.” She argues that reversing the present situation where care is defined as a private responsibility will entail three elements: 1) establishing a right to care as a core right of citizens; 2) establishing caregiving as a public social responsibility; and 3) according caregivers recognition for carrying out a public social responsibility. The extent to which a ‘cheer factor’ motivates grandparents to care for their grandchildren despite any negative drawbacks on their physical, social or financial status is important when considering legal and policy issues regarding
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whether care work should be treated as a right or responsibility.

F. Footing the Granny-Care Bill

The United States is weathering what perhaps may be its longest recession and highest deficit in history. Demands for tax increases and spending cuts consume the partisan politics of the United States Congress, so any additional spending requests will likely be met with resounding negativity. It is fairly well known that the bulk of the United States budget is allocated to the national defense system, Social Security, and Medicare. As previously mentioned, there are concerns regarding the large number of senior citizens who make up the baby boomer generation, particularly the notion that they may turn the Social Security system on its head because it was not set up to accommodate a citizenry where the retired outnumber the working masses. However, the country and its governing bodies have declared the need for comprehensive tax reform, and the suggestions offered in this Article could be part of the new tax scheme to address the modern family. Moreover, many of the proposals for incentivizing intergenerational caregiving will not cost the United States any more money than it is already spending. For example, the benefits of grandparent caregiving far outweigh the alternative—inequitable and unsafe child care. Early child care programs and
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after-school programs provide significant benefit to communities and reduce delinquency risk factors and later adult crime. More productive adults in society produce more economic returns for the market, rather than the costs of housing a juvenile or adult offender in jail, or providing for a child in foster care. What the country deems worthy of funding is important to whether intergenerational caregiving and multigenerational living find support within state legislatures and the U.S. Congress.

VII. CONCLUSION

Marian Robinson’s status as the live-in First Grandmother is an example of a growing trend in the United States—the multigenerational family. Mrs. Robinson also reflects another new development in American families: grandparents helping their adult children with caregiving. Marian Robinson gave up a lot to move into the White House—her job, her home environment, and part of her autonomy. For the sake of family, Mrs. Robinson has sacrificed much. Many grandparents treat their role as caregiver like a profession, and they sacrifice jobs, residences, money, time, and part of their independence in order to ‘retribe’ their family. Often times, these grandparents are not as fortunate as Mrs. Robinson, and their selfless commitment to family not only reduces their current income, but also negatively affects their retirement funds and ability to care for themselves in the future. As the number of citizens over the age of sixty-five increases and the average age of grandparents decreases, these fundamental changes in the family caregiving network pose a threat to a significant portion of our population, particularly women, who make up the majority of grandparent caregivers.

When “doing what you have to do” for family significantly impacts a growing number of grandparents in our country, it is vital that the law adjusts in order to accommodate differences in the family structure. This Article sets forth a foundational analysis regarding how various laws work together to disadvantage today’s modern grandparent. There are three legal areas where
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grandparents are discouraged from taking an active caretaking role in their grandchildren’s lives—employment, tax, and housing—which directly impact the financial landscape of elderly caregivers. The impact of this family evolution on the older generation has yet to be examined from a legal perspective that goes beyond the traditional spectrum of family law. This Article fills a gap in the legal analysis of family law reform in that it focuses on two underdeveloped topics: 1) grandparents who are an integral part of the family, and 2) the impending crisis of a significant aging population. It argues that the transsubstantive nature of family law requires advocacy for grandparents beyond custody and visitation rights. Expanding social welfare for grandparent caregivers can revise the concept of the system of laws that supports family care work and can reform the administration of federal, state, and local regulations governing work and family.

This Article explores how the multigenerational family is being utilized in the private sphere as a tool to support family members who are interdependent and how it reflects the choice of citizens to reject autonomy for a more co-dependent life experience. The multigenerational home can better equip the next generation and serve as a safety net for children and aging yet able members of our society. Cultural traditions of many minority families promote intergenerational caregiving as a means of transferring values, customs, religion, and language. Although research shows that grandparent involvement in their grandchildren’s lives results in multiple positive outcomes, government support for the extended family network lags behind the social framework of today. Because of the growing record numbers of older citizens, this Article argues that federal and local governments should be pressed to provide public financial support for both normative and alternative caregiving networks.

Amending the Family Medical Leave Act to include grandparents and expand the reasons allowed for taking a leaves of absence from work would be one way of supporting contemporary extended families who share the daily tasks of child care. Considering how to provide better retirement benefits to working grandmothers who are split between caretaking and the market is another means by which the federal government could lend assistance. Comprehensive tax reform that lowers tax rates for lower-income custodial grandparents and allows tax credits for grandparents who provide a home and substantive child care for their grandchildren would help the financial bottom line for many
struggling elderly caregivers. Adoption of the ADU Model State Act and Local Ordinance would establish the basis for encouraging seniors to age in place while still maintaining some autonomy. While other modern countries in Europe and Australia have seriously examined the role of grandparent caretakers within the scheme of their national economy and workforce, the United States lags behind in tangibly acknowledging the growing importance of intergenerational caregiving. The United Kingdom, France, and Sweden are some of the countries that have adopted laws to reduce the economic strain of grandparent caregiving. Undergirding senior citizens, women, and children has been the crux of the benevolence of U.S. social welfare, but several social, economic and legal tensions at the heart of caregiving must be addressed before significant changes can occur.

In the final analysis, there are theoretical questions that this Article posits for future research. Can the common dependent care interests of young children and senior citizens be conflated to create a unified approach for family caregiving in the U.S.? Can the U.S. afford to place a more tangible value on care work for the benefit of children and the elderly? Does the role that altruism plays in the provision of care for family members defeat efforts to expand public government support for what has historically been a private responsibility? These inquiries must be fully addressed in order for America to embrace the reality of the shift in cultural norms that intergenerational caregiving creates for families.