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ABSTRACT

XANES $L_2$ and $L_3$-edge X-Ray Absorption Near Edge Spectra (XANES) for 4$d$ and 5$d$ row transition metals (TM) oxides are assumed to be directly reflecting unoccupied $d$ orbitals influenced by the local symmetry of the metal ion. XANES $L_2$- and $L_3$-edge data analysis through non-linear curve fitting allows for a unique, efficient look at the structural eccentricities of transition metal oxides. In this way it is possible to determine the oxidation state of a material as well as its site symmetry. We have used non-linear least-squares fitting across the near-edge region of the various 4$d$ row and 5$d$ row TM oxides in order to investigate the systematics of the $d$-orbital occupancy and the XANES white line features for $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ symmetry orbitals. The statistics have shown promising trends for 4$d$ and 5$d$ row level transition metal oxides. The systematics obtained with these results provide crucial information for the synthesis of new materials with specific electronic structures and crystal symmetries.
INTRODUCTION

Transition Metal Oxides:

Transition metal oxides (TMOs) have unique properties making them of particular interest in the field of solid state physics. TM×es on their own are incredible and versatile materials with the ability to form highly stable complexes with a variety of other elements. Their defining characteristic, however, is that they have only partially filled d-orbitals making them somewhat odd as elements in the periodic table. Some compounds created using transition metals show superconducting and paramagnetic traits that are key to those working to develop the field of electronics and computing.\textsuperscript{1} For the purposes of solid state physics research, the focus is on 4d and 5d TM×es because of the existence of several overlapping energy scales and competing interactions present such as spin-orbit, coulomb and exchange interactions.\textsuperscript{1} The crystal structure of a TMO is, of course, a relevant factor determining bonding properties as well as the symmetries that the electrons in the d-orbitals follow.

Transition metals’ defining characteristic of having only partially filled d-orbitals is what makes them able to be thoroughly analyzed through x-ray absorption spectroscopy at a synchrotron facility. Specifically, the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges of the spectra belonging to the near edge range can provide useful systematics about transition metal oxides. As the spectra is broken down into the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges, the $p$ to $d$-orbital transitions being analyzed can be broken into different symmetries consisting of the crystal-field states $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ depending on the electron’s energy. The $t_{2g}$ state consists of the $d_{xy}$, $d_{yz}$, and $d_{xz}$ orbitals while the $e_g$ state consists of the $d_{(x^2)}$, $d_{(y^2)}$, and $d_{(z^2)}$ orbitals in octahedral environments.\textsuperscript{1} Here the charge density of the $e_g$ orbitals extends toward the filled-electron ligand sites (at the corners of the octahedra) raising its energy.
while the $t_{2g}$ charge density lobes extend toward the centers of the octahedra edges and have a lower energy. Elaborating on the difference between the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges, the $L_2$-edge outlines the transition from $p_{1/2}$ initial states to $d_{3/2}$ states while the $L_3$-edge outlines the transition from $p_{3/2}$ initial states to $d_{5/2}$ final states. Depending on how the transitions for the $p$-orbital electrons proceed, it is necessary to see which state they come to occupy as this will provide insight about the electronic properties of the sample material. Looking at several oxides composed of the same TM but with difference formal occupancy values, patterns regarding $d$-orbital filling can easily be observed providing a superior chance at understanding any TMO sample.

For the purposes of the research done here, the TMOs being analyzed are all perovskites following the ABX$_3$ crystal structure (A: cation, B: metal ion, and X: anion). The perovskite structure itself is based on that of calcium titanium oxide (CaTiO$_3$) and because of the crystal’s octahedral structuring, is able to be easily rotated and tilted. The utility that perovskites have due to being flexible is paired with the capacity to tune their physical properties by replacing the cationic species located at the A- and B-sites. Being able to select the physical properties desired allows for a simplified synthesis of materials that act as insulators, conductors, or semiconductors. Ensuing from the ability to select preferred traits, the focus on perovskites comes out of research into ferroelectric materials for use in next generation computer hardware and photovoltaic cells. Knowing that perovskites are the subject material also means keeping in mind the electron orbital traits connected with such a structuring. In perovskites the orbital split between $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ has the triply degenerate $t_{2g}$ case being of lower energy compared to the doubly degenerate $e_g$ with a sizeable energy gap between them. Ignoring this information would be remiss when analyzing XANES data, especially when the goal is probing the $d$-orbital
features present in the $L_{2,3}$-edges of a spectra because it means the $e_g$ orbitals are empty for each sample.

**Literature Survey:**

$L_2$ and $L_3$ measurements of transition-metal 5$d$ orbital occupancy, spin-orbit effects, and chemical bonding$^2$

Qi *et al.*$^2$ present the $L_2$ and $L_3$ x-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements for all of the 5$d$ transition metal ($T$) elements and some $TAI_2$ intermetallic compounds looking for trends across the XANES spectra. A striking difference in white-line intensity exists between the spectra of Au and that for Ta following with the $d$-orbital occupancy across the 5$d$ row. For Au there is an absence of a WL feature at both the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges indicating that there are essentially no 5$d$ holes in elemental Au. When it comes to Pt, however, there is a distinct difference between the WL features for the $L_3$- and $L_2$-edges. For the $L_3$-edge the presence of the WL feature is evident while in the $L_2$-edge, there is only a slight shoulder to suggest a remnant of a WL feature. This difference reflects spin-orbit-induced preponderance of 5$d_{5/2}$ holes versus 5$d_{3/2}$ holes in elemental Pt. Area estimates for the white-lines were done with the superposition of a Lorentzian for the peak and an arctangent for fitting the continuum. In order to support the calculations done in this way, the Au edge was taken and shifted to approximate the continuum absorption and subtracted away from the other edges. With the area values, a regular correlation between atomic number and the $L_3$-edge area is evident with a similar trend being evident in the $L_2$-edge area. When plotting the areas compared to atomic number, there is a decrease in area.
following a decrease in atomic number. The ratio of the areas for samples reflects the previously mentioned spin-orbit splitting of the $5d$ band and its hole population. Consequence of these calculations, it is noted that the separate $L_2$- and $L_3$-edge areas can be used as separate estimates of the total $5d$ hole count.

*XAS Studies of 1:2:2 Transition Metal Compounds*

Chen *et al.*$^4$ conducted XANES analysis of $4d$ transition metal ($T$) compounds looking for anti-bonding features between a transition metal and a group IV or V element ($X$) above the Fermi level by hybridization. With these transition metals, the XANES spectra is dominated by an intense white-line feature indicating electrons from the $2p$-core level to empty $d$-orbital states. The strength and splitting of the white-line feature decreased as they went through a sequence of different $X$ from Si → Ge → Sn. The observations could have also been a result of a decrease in the $T$ $4d$ states at the Fermi level relative to the elements. As part of the analysis process an $L_3$-edge area vs. atomic number plot was made allowing for a determination of the expected WL area change for a $4d$ hole based on the plot’s slope. In the end, the need for further theoretical treatment of the role of $4d$ states and $T-X$ hybridization was showed along with how useful XAS is for electronic structure probing above the Fermi level.

*Transition-metal (Au, Pt, Ir, Re) bonding to Al, Si, Ge: X-ray-absorption studies*

Jeon *et al.*$^5$ discuss and correlate the strength of the white-line features at the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges of a selection of $T-X$ compounds where $T=$Au, Pt, Ir, and Re and $X=$Al, Si, and Ge. In the
study they saw that the x-ray absorption spectroscopy results appear to offer a direct method of probing the transition metal 5d-orbital charge transfer. In the XANES spectra, a sharp Lorentzian-type white-line feature occurs a few eV before the onset of the continuum transitions. Since the WL feature rides atop the continuum step, it is necessary to subtract the continuum out in order to accurately estimate the area of the feature itself. By superimposing the Au $L_{2,3}$-edge spectra with the spectra of other compounds allows for easy subtraction of the continuum step in order to obtain area values. An issue with this method is that differences in the fine-structure oscillations can contribute to the near-edge area difference potentially increasing or decreasing the WL-area estimates. These $L_2$- and $L_3$-edge WL area measurements offer a way of estimating 5d-orbital hole counts for the $d_{3/2}$ and $d_{5/2}$ states. In turn, the area measurements allows for better understanding the strength and character of a compound’s bonding. The area values from the $L_{2,3}$-edges track each other indicating a distribution of the $d$-orbital holes between the $d_{3/2}$ and $d_{5/2}$ states. Between the samples tested, the Al compounds seem to have more strongly reduced spin-orbit effects compared to the Si and Ge compounds. While looking for changes in the white-line area associated with bonding, the elemental white-line is subtracted away. This study was successful in proving the chemical bonding-induced charge-transfer effects of $T$-$X$ and 1:2:2 compounds. The researchers want to underline the limitations of the method of subtracting away the elemental Au spectra in order to estimate WL areas and the need to improve on this front. They also believe that this work offers a useful method of using XANES data to provide a window into local bonding strengths in compounds as well as being a test for theoretical models regarding such bonding.
X-ray-absorption near-edge structure study of IBi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_y$\(^6\)

Liang et al.\(^6\) set about a XANES study of IBi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_y$ crystals. The study was split between the iodine \(L_1\)-, bismuth \(L_3\)-, and copper \(K\)-edges. The \(L_1\)-edge represents the excitation of \(s\)-orbital electrons, the \(L_{2,3}\)-edges are representations of \(p\)-orbital electrons being excited, and the \(K\)-edge represents excitation of \(1s\) electrons specifically. Covering all of these segments of the XANES spectra gave a rounded understanding of the sample in question. For instance, the \(L_1\)-edge results indicated that intercalated iodine layers receive electronic charges and that iodine \(5p\)-orbital holes are in states oriented in the iodine plane itself. In the \(L_3\)-edge there was an out-of-plane downward shift as well as an in-plane upward shift due to iodine intercalation. These shifts were for \(6d\)-\(t_{2g}\) and \(6d\)-\(e_g\) states caused by an octahedral to pyramidal shift of the Bi-O bond. This structural shift is backed up by the edge shift that is present upon iodine intercalation. In the copper \(K\)-edge did not show any significant changes as a result of the iodine intercalation. For the quantitative portion of the research, fitting was done by superposition of arctangent and Lorentzian-Gaussian combination to determine the area of the white-line features. The conclusion reached through this study, supported by the changes in the XANES spectra, was that intercalated iodine extracts charge from neighboring Bi-O layers and others.

Large Effects of A-site average cation size on the properties of the double perovskites Ba$_2$-\(_x\)Sr$_x$MnReO$_6$: A \(d^5\)-\(d^1\) system\(^7\)

Popov et al.\(^7\) analyzed the XANES \(L_{2,3}\)-edges’ white line (WL) features to determine the local environment of several different samples. The samples in question were ferromagnetic
double perovskites that had gained interest due to the discovery of large, low-field, room-temperature magnetoresistance in Sr$_2$FeMoO$_6$. A starting goal of the XANES analysis for the samples was determination of the oxidation state. Beyond this, determination of the chemical shift of Re between the three tested double perovskites was conducted based on the centrum of the t$_{2g}$ and e$_g$ WL features. Also mentioned in this paper is the inverse connection between the intensity of the t$_{2g}$ WL feature and d-orbital occupancy, which is an important variable to observe across XANES studies. The paper raps up having determined the formal oxidation states by x-ray absorption spectroscopy and successfully analyzed the ferromagnetic properties of the samples.

*XANES study of hydrogen incorporation in a Pd-capped Nb thin film* \(^8\)

Ruckman *et al.* \(^8\) set out to use XANES as a tool for studying hydrogen incorporation in a Pd-capped Nb thin film. The XANES analysis showed that there are spectral changes related to Pd-hydride formation. The spectral changes include white-line feature degradation and the appearance of a Pd-H antibonding feature at 6 eV. Hydrogen charging of the thin film resulted in enhancement of white-line strength of the L$_{2,3}$-edges. Such hydrogen charging has been shown to increase WL area and suppress superconductivity in metals. The new feature resulting from Pd-H antibonding is due to the scattering of outgoing photoelectrons by on-site hydrogen 1s states. The XANES spectra also confirmed that introducing hydrogen into Nb reduces $p$ and $d$ density of states at the Fermi edge. In regards to checking the density of states, the L$_2$-edge is a better representation due to multiplet effects that interfere in the L$_3$-edge. The conclusions made from the analysis was that much of the structural change upon introducing hydrogen to the 2400
angstrum thick Nb film is due to expansion of the lattice rather than metal-H bonding. The new features located at 6 eV above the absorption threshold are however attributed to such a bond.

*Electronic structure of the Fe–Cu–Nb–Si–B alloys by x-ray absorption spectroscopy*°

Cheng *et al.*° measured the XANES spectra of nanocrystalline and amorphous FCNSB and FeSiB alloys at the $L_{2,3}$-edges. The samples were compared to reference material spectra to see what changes occurred. The features in the Fe $L_3$-edge of $nc$-FCNSB changed shape significantly upon addition of Cu and Nb to the FeSiB alloy. These changes indicated a strong influence of Cu and Nb on the Fe 3$d$ local electronic structure, with close examination of the Cu $L_{2,3}$-edge indicating that the copper clusters have a body-centered-cubic structure. In order to determine the changing of 3$d$ electronic structuring for FCNSB between $nc$- and $a$- samples, it is necessary to know that the area beneath the white-line features is a convolution of the transition matrix element and the unoccupied density of states. A source of difficulty when analyzing the 3$d$ row $L_{2,3}$-edge spectra is that they contain complicated multiplet splittings, where $p$-hole spin couples with $d$-electron spin. Some WL features at the Nb $L_3$-edge suggested a slight increase in the delocalization of Nb 4$d$ orbits when $a$-FCNSB is crystallized into $nc$-FCNSB. The main feature of the $L_3$-edge showed a decreased intensity compared to the Nb reference metal with this lower feature indicating fewer unoccupied Nb 4$d$ states and the delocalization of the 4$d$ orbitals mentioned previously. All of this came together to adequately present the changes that occur between $nc$- and $a$-FCNSB.
**X-ray-absorption studies of the d-orbital occupancies of selected 4d/5d transition metals compounded with group-III/IV ligands**

Jeon *et al.* used the XAS of several 4d/5d transition metals to explore the systematic variations of their d-orbital occupancy in T-X compounds. In order to quantify the changes in the d-orbital occupancy, the strength of the white-line features at the T L_{2,3}-edges were used. The precise role of d-orbitals in bonding in transition metal compounds depends on the details of local symmetry, ligand field strength, and other electronic structure parameters. The most important features analyzed in the L_{2,3}-edges are the d-hole related white-line feature and the step-shaped continuum feature. The last transition metal in the 4d and 5d rows was used as a means to subtract away the continuum component and analyze the WL feature. This is done by superimposing it over the XANES spectra for the sample being analyzed. Although there are shortcomings of this method, it is simple to apply and effective despite the potential flaws incurred through its use often leading to underestimation. There exists a linear correlation between the areas of the L_{2}- and L_{3}-edges and atomic number between Ag and Mo. Linear least-squares fitting was conducted on the XANES data yielding average WL area change between adjacent 4d elements. As a way to simply parameterize the changes in net d-orbital population between materials, the average area is used. When looking at the XANES spectra for 5d compounds, the strength of the WL feature decreases as X goes down the column from Al → Ga → In. This detail supports the notion that the ability of the X element to create T 5d holes will decrease along that sequence.
Relationship between the area of $L_{2,3}$ x-ray absorption edge resonances and the $d$ orbital occupancy in compounds of platinum and iridium

J. A. Horsley set out to conduct a XANES study on compounds of platinum, iridium, and their pure metal variants in order to determine a relationship between the white-line intensity and $d$-orbital occupancy. Rather than using the $L_3$-edge alone to look to see the same trend, both the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges were going to be analyzed. The relationship in question is important due to the charge shifts caused by the presence of core hole on the metal being constant for different ligands and therefore has broad application. In order to obtain the area values for the WL features of the $L$-edges to determine the relationship, a combination of Lorentzian and an underlying continuum component were utilized. Unsurprisingly, the relationship was observed in the $L_3$-edge but Horsley was also successful in showing it in the $L_2$-edge, which was a core goal of the study. The process could not be applied to IrO$_2$ because of the absence of transitions to empty $d$ states at the top of the valance band. The results themselves were positive across the $L$-edges area values, showing the desired relationship to $d$-orbital occupancy. Problems did come up when attempting to extend the relationship from platinum to other noble metals such as iridium and osmium for the previously mentioned reasons coming out from transitions to unoccupied $d$ states at the top of the valance band.

The Original Data

The XANES $L_{2,3}$ data being analyzed comes from several different files created by Dr. Mark Croft of Rutgers University over many years. The XANES data itself was obtained at the
National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS and NSLS-II) of Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL). The TMOs that were tested come from across the 4d and 5d rows. The 4d row XANES data was the first set to undergo the curve fitting process as a testbed before beginning work on the full collection provided.

Figures 1 & 2: Depicted are the white-line features of the L$_3$-edge (left) as well as the L$_2$-edge (right). In both, the formal $d$-orbital occupancy of each transition metal oxide is given going from a filled t$_{2g}$ orbital with $d^6$ at the bottom of each plot, to $d^0$ at the top. With La$_2$Mn$_3$Rh$_4$O$_{12}$ the absence of empty t$_{2g}$ holes should mean there is only e$_g$ contribution. (Images from KaleidaGraph)

Looking at the 4d row alone in figures 1 and 2, there was evidently going to be a sizeable selection of resulting values from the curve fits to sort through and compare. For the remaining XANES data to be analyzed we look to a collection of 5d TMOs shown in figures 3 through 6
with a focus placed on the compounds containing rhenium as they made up the bulk of the XANES data.

Figures 3-6: $L_3$-edge (left) and $L_2$-edge (right) datasets for the 5$d$ row transition metal oxides focused on the white-line features. Formal $d$-orbital occupancy of each compound is also shown. This set has less pronounced splitting between the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ final states compared to the 4$d$ row samples. (Images from KaleidaGraph)
X-ray Absorption Near Edge Structure:

As a technique, x-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) came out of the full x-ray absorption spectra (XAS) along with the more commonly analyzed extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS). X-ray absorption measurements of this type conducted at synchrotron facilities got their start at Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory in 1974.\textsuperscript{12} The setup itself for these experiments is straightforward despite requiring a massive investment to construct the infrastructure alone. The most important and sizeable piece is the synchrotron ring itself where charged particles are accelerated resulting in the emission of x-ray radiation that is then collimated and focused before impacting a sample to be analyzed. When an experiment requires a particular wavelength be used, the apparatus can also narrow down the radiation sent through to that wavelength.\textsuperscript{13} As for why the synchrotron ring is the key piece of equipment, it allows for the production of incredibly bright x-rays that while having high intensity also has the low emittance required for conducting experiments in the field of XAS.\textsuperscript{14} The utility and versatility of synchrotron laboratories paired with their limited numbers makes obtaining beam time to conducted experiments highly competitive. Once an experiment has been conducted, however, the results will come out in files that can be brought into the commonly used absorption versus energy plots used in the field for analysis. The absorption coefficient used and the energy range that is covered will depend on the material being tested.

In XAS experiments the key event being observed is electron excitation and transition. X-rays incident on a sample will transfer energy resulting in electron transitions from lower atomic energy levels to higher levels.\textsuperscript{15} In the case of XANES $L_{2,3}$-edge observations, the transitions are from the $p$-orbital to the $d$-orbital. Following the free electron relation
\[ \frac{p^2}{2m} = h\nu - E_0 \]

and Fermi’s Golden rule, where \( W \) is the total transition rate,

\[ W = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left( \frac{eE_0}{2} \right)^2 |\langle i | z | f \rangle|^2 \rho(E_f) \]  \tag{2}

it is possible to predict and understand how these transitions occur. In Fermi’s Golden rule, \( \rho(E_f) \) is the density of final states at a particular energy where \( |i\rangle \) is the initial state and \( |f\rangle \) is the final state. Following the transition event, electrons will shortly drop down to fill the lower energy levels resulting in the emission of radiation and/or the expulsion of Auger electrons depending on how much energy is released.\(^{15}\) For the purposes of this project, the detection method used is reliant on analysis of the transmitted energy through a sample using two ionization chambers to detect the initial flux \( (I_0) \) and the transmitted flux \( (I_t) \). Between samples and depending on whether the XANES or EXAFS regions are being analyzed, varied beam energies will be required. To allow for this flexibility in experiments, a monochromator is used at synchrotron sources making it possible to tailor an x-ray simplifying the process of obtaining useable data.

For a more expansive mathematical take on the x-ray transition rate it is common to derive the equation through the use of the one-particle Green’s function. The transition rate equation found is equivalent to the previously shown Golden Rule formulation (2), however, the one-particle Green’s function describes the excited electron states.\(^{12}\) To properly show the process of deriving the x-ray transition rate in this way, \textit{X-Ray Absorption: Principles, Applications, Techniques of EXAFS, SEXAFS, and XANES} by D. C. Koningsberger and R. Prins does a fantastic job beginning with the Hamiltonian:\(^{12}\)

\[ H = H_0 + H' \]
where $H_0$ is the Hamiltonian for the electron plus unoccupied photons and $H'$ is the electron-photon interaction.

\begin{equation}
H' = \frac{e}{c} \int dr \, j(r) * A(r) + \frac{e^2}{2mc} \int dr \, \rho(r) A^2(r)
\end{equation}

Here $\rho(r)$ is the electron number, $j(r)$ is the current density, and $A(r)$ is the vector potential where in x-ray absorption only the first term is used while the second is applied for higher-order processes like x-ray scattering.\(^{12}\) For further progress an evolution operator is needed and it comes in the following form:

\begin{equation}
U(t) = e^{-iH_0t}
\end{equation}

and a particle state of

\begin{equation}
|\psi(t)\rangle = -i \int_0^{t_0} dt \, U(t_0 - t)H'U(t)|c,q\rangle
\end{equation}

with $|q\rangle$ being the photon state and $|c\rangle$ being the core state having an eigenvalue $\varepsilon_c$. The probability that at $t_0$ the photon has been absorbed and the excited electron is in state $|n\rangle$ will be equivalent to

\begin{equation}
P_n(t_0) = |\langle n|\psi(t_0)\rangle|^2
\end{equation}

and upon summing over the final states $|n\rangle$ gives a total transition rate of

\begin{equation}
W = \frac{d}{dt_0} \left\{ \lim_{t_0 \to \infty} P(t_0) \right\}
\end{equation}

Introducing Green’s function containing the complex variable $z$

\begin{equation}
G(z) = (z - H_0)^{-1}
\end{equation}

which is related to the evolution operator by

\begin{equation}
U(t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, e^{-i\omega t} \left( G^+(x) - G^-(x) \right)
\end{equation}

Combining the evolution operator with the probability equation (5),
\[ W(\omega) = -2 \langle \epsilon | h^* \text{Im} G^+(\epsilon_c + \omega) h | \epsilon \rangle \tag{7} \]

which is our fundamental expression for the transition rate where

\[ h = \frac{e}{c} \int dr j(r) \cdot A^\lambda_q e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \]

is an operator on the electron states only and \( A^\lambda_q e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \) is the expectation value of the vector potential in photon state \( |q\rangle \). In order to show the equivalency to the Golden Rule it is necessary to insert the eigenfunction expansion of Green’s function:

\[ G^+(\epsilon) = \sum_n \frac{\langle n | \epsilon - \delta \rangle}{\epsilon - \epsilon_n + i\eta} \tag{8} \]

thereby producing,

\[ W(\omega) = 2\pi \sum_n |\langle n | h | \epsilon \rangle|^2 \delta(\epsilon_c + \omega - \epsilon_n) \]

Having now gone through this derivation an explanation as to why it has been done is in order. As a primary motivation, the Green’s function method allows for the ready implementation of multiple-scattering theory that is pivotal to XANES theory as a whole. Being able to make contact with the many-body phenomena that are inherit to the XANES features allows for a significantly improved grasp of what is occurring in a sample during analysis contributing to an effective implementation of any results and the sidestepping of errors in interpretation.

To bring the theory into practice, XAS plots are made in the form of absorption versus energy but what has been shown in the previous derivation is not able to do so in its current state. To make the change over to absorption coefficient rather than total transition rate and understand how the transitions are analyzed, the first step is considering the power being absorbed from the electromagnetic wave per unit volume such that

\[ \text{(9)} \]
\[ \frac{du}{dt} - 4\pi^2 \omega e^2 N_a u |\langle i | z | f \rangle|^2 \rho(E_f) \]

where \( N_a \) is the number of atoms per unit volume. Following from this we have the definition

\[ \frac{du}{dx} = -\mu u \]

providing us with the absorption coefficient in the form of

\[ \mu = \frac{4\pi^2 \omega e^2}{c} N_a |\langle i | z | f \rangle|^2 \rho(E_f) \]

(10)

where it can be seen how the transition rate and absorption are related. This can be further manipulated into the final form that we are looking for

\[ \mu(E) = \sum |\langle i | \hat{H} | f \rangle|^2 \rho(E_f) \]

(11)

where \( \hat{H} \) is the dipole/quadrupole operator. As for the absorption coefficient that will be plotted versus beam energy, \( \mu(E) = \ln \left( \frac{I_0}{I} \right) \) will be used.

Returning to study in the field of XAS generally, EXAFS analysis became predominant due to its relative simplicity, speed, and because it gives practical information about the local structure of each atom in a sample. In comparison, XANES is more challenging to obtain useful results from but is potentially the more detailed segment of XAS data, providing important information such as the oxidation state, coordination geometry, and electron orbital occupancy. These strengths of XANES analysis make for an excellent tool when studying more complicated materials. As mentioned previously, looking into the \( p \) to \( d \)-orbital transition of transition metal oxides by analyzing the \( L_2 \) and \( L_3 \)-edge features is of significant interest. In XANES, the features being broken down are the peaks in absorbance vs energy, historically known as “white lines” due to the unexposed line on the photographic tape that was used to record the XAS, and the continuum that closes out both edges. \(^{12}\) Through careful analysis the \( d \)-orbital occupancy and
chemical shift can be determined based on the white line areas. Conducting experiments over the XANES range are not as easy as for EXAFS requiring far greater accuracy from the data as even the slightest deviation can have compounding negative effects.\textsuperscript{12}

A part of XANES’ significance is connected to multiple scattering that occurs within a sample during an experiment. This multiple scattering is the main physical effect that determines the XANES spectra of condensed matter systems giving multiple scattering resonances that, along with shape resonances, provide the origins for the XANES peaks.\textsuperscript{12} To elaborate, any sample undergoing XANES analysis has closely packed atoms depending on the chemical composition and the auger electrons and emitted photons will scatter amongst them. Accordingly, even slight changes in the structure of a sample will alter the spectra making it is possible to obtain detailed information about the orientation of the composite atoms. The extent to which multiple scattering influences XANES is why experiments need to be finely tuned and executed in order to obtain data that accurately reflects a sample.

\textbf{XANES Research:}

XANES research is focused on obtaining an in depth analysis of the electronic structure of a sample to better understand its properties. This information proves useful as far as developing new materials to either replace less effective ones or be applied in unique ways to further technological development. For this project in particular, the primary concern is quantifying the $d$-orbital occupancies of a varied set of TMOs from both the $4d$ and $5d$ rows. In order to have simplified analysis process that has suitable accuracy, it is necessary to create a formula for and conduct non-linear least squares curve fitting on the extensive collection of
XANES $L_{2,3}$-edge spectra. The fitting process was undertaken in a variety of programs including KaleidaGraph, Mathematica, and Origin in order to gauge reproducibility across different programs. The most important pieces of information obtained through the fitting process are the area values for each peak in the $L$-edges. These area values correspond directly to the occupancy of the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ orbital symmetries in each TMO. To be specific, the area values provide an understanding about how empty the orbital is in each symmetry with a larger peak in one signaling that there are less occupied states in that segment of the $d$-orbital. This will be reflected in the area difference between the peaks in the $L_{2,3}$-edges with cases where the second peak in each edge is larger than the first corresponding to more empty $e_g$ orbital spaces. A smaller peak indicates less available occupancy which can be explained by taking into account that the XANES spectra is looking at the excitation of electrons from the $p$-orbital to empty slots in the $d$-orbital, which will shortly thereafter fall back down in energy. When later observing the area differences between each peak, it is far easier to understand the splitting between $t_{2g}$ to $e_g$ and the occupancy therein when comparing to known trends. In the perovskite structures being analyzed, for instance, the $t_{2g}$ orbital typically fills before $e_g$ due to inherit orbital energy differences between them.\textsuperscript{1} In the event of a spectra where this is observed to not be the case, for instance, further inspection would be warranted to determine what led to this anomaly. Comparing across formal occupancy will also present any relevant trends between samples. The area values being collected therefore are the key results when determining the efficacy of the curve fitting process.

As for the continuum portion that follows each $L$-edge, they are a collection of unbound states with high kinetic energy that the WL is superimposed over.\textsuperscript{15} These unbound states separate themselves from the WL features because of the Columbic interaction between the $p$-holes and $d$-electrons resulting in the shift to lower energy creating the WL features. Compared
An Example XAS (XANES & EXAFS):

The whole XAS, as previously mentioned, can be broken into two distinct portions, XANES and EXAFS. As has also been mentioned, at what energy the split occurs depends on the sample being tested but is essentially the same across all XAS.

![X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy](image)

Figure 7: This example of an XAS does not have any prominent WL features in the XANES region, which are what researchers are focused on analyzing.
Figures 8: This example XAS show the difference between the XANES and EXAFS regions, particularly the appearance of each and how it differs in terms of absorption fluctuation.

The example XAS of figures 7 and 8 differ in that figure 7 has been normalized and lacks a proper WL feature unlike figure 8, which does not appear to be normalized but has WL features that have appeared in and to are unique to the XANES region. The EXAFS region’s fine fluctuations are also noticeable between both figures. These fluctuations are what EXAFS researchers analyze along with modeling results in order to determine the fine structure of a sample. In the figures, the split between the $L_3$- and $L_2$-edges are not made clear but can be seen well in figure 9.
Figure 9: The split between the $L_3$- and $L_2$-edges is tied to the difference between the $d_{5/2}$ and $d_{3/2}$ electron states respectively.

With the two $L$-edges shown in figure 9, there is a significant absorption intensity difference between them with the $L_3$-edge being the more pronounced of the two. As has been mentioned, the $L_3$-edge consists of the electrons that jumped from $p_{3/2}$ initial states to $d_{5/2}$ final states while the $L_2$-edge is made up of the electrons that jumped from $p_{1/2}$ initial states to $d_{3/2}$ final states. It is important that both edges are subjected to curve fitting analysis because the resulting trend should have agreement in regards to the $d$-orbital occupancy of the sample. Another difference between the edges that will become clear when looking at the fits later is that the split between the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ states is more pronounced for the $L_3$-edge allowing for a simpler time fitting the higher energy samples at that edge.
Curve Fitting Formula:

When attempting to fit the XANES data, the best starting point was to break down the simplest spectra without factoring in the continuum portion, solely focusing on the peak itself and figuring out what distribution fit it best. The first attempts at getting fit results were made using the Gaussian distribution:

\[ y = y_0 + \frac{A}{w \sqrt{\pi/2}} e^{-\frac{(x-x_c)^2}{w^2}} \]  

(9)

Almost immediately after the first attempts, the Gaussian was shown to be an ineffective mathematical tool to use. The reason for this was that the Gaussian had too sharp of an onset resulting in a far narrower peak than the XANES data being fit. In order to resolve the issue and achieve a more accurate fit, the transition to using a Lorentzian distribution was made.

\[ y = y_0 + \frac{2A}{\pi} \frac{w}{4(x-x_c)^2 + w^2} \]  

(10)

The Lorentzian’s broader tails allowed for a significant improvement in fitting accuracy paving the way for the incorporation of an arctangent equation to capture the continuum, rounding out the curve fitting formula.

\[ y = y_0 + h \times \arctan \left( \frac{x-x_{int}}{w} \right) \]  

(11)

The arctangent itself was trivial to incorporate with variables such as step height and step width having a consistent range of acceptable values. As a new conundrum, the question of fitting cases with two distinct peaks came about. This inevitable predicament would rationally be solved by simply smashing two Lorentzian equations together along with an arctangent component to cover the continuum:
\[ y = y_0 + \frac{2A_{t_{2g}}}{\pi} \frac{w_{t_{2g}}}{4(x - x_{t_{2g}})^2 + w_{t_{2g}}^2} + \frac{2A_{e_{g}}}{\pi} \frac{w_{e_{g}}}{4(x - x_{e_{g}})^2 + w_{e_{g}}^2} + h \cdot \arctan\left(\frac{(x - x_{\text{int}})}{w}\right) \]  

(12)

In order to have the two-peak XANES equation function properly, preliminary fitting statistics would be needed as attempting to blindly use this function proved to be wildly inaccurate. As an early stage of getting these preliminary parameters, the XANES data was windowed such that only one peak was contained per set and the continuum was cut out as a separate entity as well. This was done in order to do simpler fits on each component at individual stages. Once the separate, component fits were completed, the variables from them would be used as a guideline for the total XANES fitting function. For early fitting results, this method was incredibly effective, providing encouraging results that showed great promise for the endeavor being undertaken across the whole XANES data set being worked with.

Early, haphazard attempts at using equation (12) for fitting purposes where all of the variables were left floating provided some pleasant looking fits but they did not adhere to the traits inherit to the $L_{2,3}$-edges being analyzed. Because of this, the next step became nailing down what values could be standardized. The values targeted for standardization came from the arctangent continuum portion of the XANES function being used. The easiest variable to set in stone was the height that the arctangent would have. The height ended up being fixed to $1/\pi$ in order to match the step height that came out of normalizing the raw synchrotron XANES data. Next up was the width of the arctangent, which has been made equal to the half-width of the $e_g$ feature’s Lorentzian. For the time being the inflection point of the arctangent was allowed to float to the right hand side of the $e_g$ feature with the goal of minimizing its influence on the values for that second Lorentzian. It is uncertain whether the inflection point should be at a fixed
distance past the $e_g$ feature or if it does, in fact, float around a particular range. The standardization of the arctangent portion of the XANES fitting function adjusted equation (12) into the following:

$$y = y_0 + \frac{2A_{t_{2g}}}{\pi} \frac{w_{t_{2g}}}{4(x - x_{t_{2g}})^2 + w_{t_{2g}}^2} + \frac{2A_{e_g}}{\pi} \frac{w_{e_g}}{4(x - x_{e_g})^2 + w_{e_g}^2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{x - (x_0 + x_{eg})}{w_e/2}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Of course, the most important part of the whole process are the resulting values. That will be discussed in depth later but it is key to mention here that throughout the fitting process it is pivotal to pay attention to the pattern the Lorentzian areas follow. For instance, if one white-line feature is clearly larger than another, it would be illogical to keep results showing the opposite is true. The potential for such an occurrence is why determining the parameters of the arctangent was pivotal to obtaining accurate results. Before fixing the values of the arctangent, there were several instances where the floating variables would come together to provide pleasant looking fits with entirely incorrect resulting values.
DATA ANALYSIS

Raw Data Normalization:

After sending a sample through the synchrotron, raw XANES data in the form of initial intensity, transmitted intensity, and reference intensity was obtained. This raw XANES data is the first place where the white-line for each sample will be noticeable. The problem is the XANES data needs to be cleaned up, or in other words, it needs to undergo normalization. The images in Figures 7 through 10 depict the raw XANES data alongside the normalized form illustrating the differences between the raw x-ray absorption coefficient, \( \mu = \ln \left( \frac{I_0}{I_t} \right) \), plot and the normalized XANES data that is used for non-linear least squares curve fitting purposes. Here the \( I_0 \) is the current in the ionization chamber in front of the sample and measures the photon flux incident on the sample. \( I_t \) is the current in the ionization chamber after the sample and measures the photon flux “transmitted” through the sample. Programs such as WinXAS make the importation of the raw photon-energy, \( I_0 \) and \( I_t \), routine as well as the fitting of the pre-edge background and post edge region for normalization with an example of original XANES data and normalized XANES data shown in figures 10 through 13.
Figures 10 & 11: Sr$_4$Fe$_3$ReO$_{12}$ raw XANES data (top) compared to the background subtracted and normalized XANES data (bottom) for the $L_3$-edge showing the difference between the two, producing the step from pre-edge to post-edge which has been normalized to unity. (Images from WinXAS)
Figures 12 & 13: Sr$_4$Fe$_3$ReO$_{12}$ raw XANES data (top) compared to normalized XANES data (bottom) for the $L_2$-edge. Note that the background subtraction and normalization have been applied. Again the step height is normalized to unity and the background
The background subtraction and edge step normalization is routine, consisting of the
importing the \( \ln \left( \frac{I}{I_c} \right) \) raw XANES data, followed by processing that can be broken into two parts.
First a polynomial fit (typically linear) is made to the background well below the edge and the fit
is subtracted away. Then another polynomial fit is made to the XANES data well above the edge
and the average of the two polynomial fits is normalized to unity. The polynomial fits in
WinXAS only requires selecting the portions of the XANES data that are to be fit with the
polynomials, clicking “fit” to make sure everything looks good, and then clicking “okay” to get
the final product. At this point it is worth mentioning that I did not do the normalization step for
the XANES data used for this project beyond one example set to provide insight about the
process.

**Results Breakdown:**

At the onset, it is useful to discuss some of the procedure involved approaching the
nontrivial multicomponent XANES data fitting. The fits were originally attempted in
KaleidaGraph before moving over to Mathematica, which is a program I am more familiar with
since it was used frequently in my undergraduate studies. After having done the fits in
Mathematica, it was decided that Origin could prove more convenient for analyzing the XANES
data. The first step in the fitting technique itself comes down to determining the small portion of
each file that corresponded to the \( L \)-edge in question. This process is known as windowing and is
absolutely necessary to ensure that only the relevant near edge features are being analyzed by the
curve fitting function discussed previously. Having windowed the XANES data, it was helpful to cut it into two segments (one $t_{2g}$ and one $e_g$) in order to obtain preliminary parameters for our fitting equation by applying a Lorentzian fit (10) to these two segments. Examples of the split fits are shown below in figures 14 and 15.

![Graphs showing $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ peaks](Images from Origin 2019b)

These values cannot be used directly in analyzing the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ WL features because of the overlap that will occur. The ensuing overlap is corrected for by using the parameters obtained by these “split fits” as guides for the complete fitting function (13). Depending on the program being used, the way of guiding the fit will vary with a purpose built program such as Origin having a window in the curve fitting tool where the values can be input for each variable also allowing for variables to be easily pinned at a particular point when needed. Once the fitting function has done its work, results can be gathered and a visual representation such as figure 16 can be obtained.
This process was applied across both the 4\textit{d} and 5\textit{d} row data with the resulting values being compiled for further analysis, looking specifically for a correlation between the t\textsubscript{2g} and e\textsubscript{g} areas and \textit{d}-orbital occupancy. The correlation between the areas should support the recognized \textit{d}-orbital filling pattern of t\textsubscript{2g} being occupied before the higher energy e\textsubscript{g} in octahedral structured compounds. The significant problem throughout the fitting process turned out to be defining the arctangent continuum for the aforementioned reason that it directly influences the values of both Lorentzians but more so the values attributed to the e\textsubscript{g} feature’s Lorentzian, which will be explained in a later section devoted to the arctangent.

Comparison of the t\textsubscript{2g} and e\textsubscript{g} values began as soon as the results were obtained and put into spreadsheets. When looking at those area values, the only ones that should change across the
datasets are the $t_{2g}$ areas while the $e_g$ areas should be practically identical. This is because the $t_{2g}$ orbital’s occupancy will vary while the $e_g$ orbital should not have any occupied states and return the same area value regardless of the compound. Because of this and the minimal impact of the arctangent on the $t_{2g}$’s Lorentzian component, the plots associated with that feature are of the greatest interest in looking for the correlation between area and $d$-orbital occupancy.

As is reflected below in table 1, the $4d$ row’s $L_3$-edge $e_g$ area (labeled Area 2) appears to fluctuate around the twenty-nine range but there is a significant disparity for some of the compounds, which can also be seen in figure 19. The disparity in this value, which should be roughly the same through the whole row, is attributed to difficulty in knowing where to place the arctangent component of the function. Much the same is seen in table 2 for the $L_2$-edge, with a visual display given in figure 20. As already mentioned, this difficulty will be described in far greater depth later, focusing on the values attributed to the Lorentzians for the moment.

Returning to those values, the two parameters given little attention thus far are the widths and center points. With the Lorentzian equation being used in the fitting function, the width attributed to each peak tends to follow a particular pattern where the $t_{2g}$ feature’s width will be far more narrow than the $e_g$ feature’s. This disparity is observed in the lower occupancy compounds through the $t_{2g}$ peak being taller than the $e_g$ peak, which will cover more area as a shorter, broader feature. For the $4d$ row’s $L_3$-edge, the $t_{2g}$ width values fall in a range from 1.46 eV to 2.33 eV while the $e_g$ widths vary between 3.00 eV to 4.99 eV following the pattern described. The location of each Lorentzian’s center may not strictly follow a pattern due to the specifics of each compound, but it does maintain an average difference of 3.3 eV for the $L_3$-edge in this row. The $t_{2g}$ trends for both $L$-edges are shown below in figures 17 and 18 with a trend line to aid in following the results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>d Occ.</th>
<th>Area 1</th>
<th>Area 2</th>
<th>Width 1</th>
<th>Width 2</th>
<th>Center 1</th>
<th>Center 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaZrO₃</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>-3.46</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbNdₓCeₓSr₂Cu₂O₁₀₋₄d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>30.19</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>-3.68</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂CrNbO₆</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>36.90</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO₃</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.24</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂FeMoO₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>-2.87</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂YRuO₆</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>22.84</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>-3.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂NiRuO₆</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂CuRhO₆</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂Mn₃Rh₄O₁₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: 4d L₃-edge results as taken from Origin following application of curve fitting function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>d Occ.</th>
<th>Area 1</th>
<th>Area 2</th>
<th>Width 1</th>
<th>Width 2</th>
<th>Center 1</th>
<th>Center 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaZrO₃</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>18.09</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbNdₓCeₓSr₂Cu₂O₁₀₋₄d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>19.31</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>-3.62</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂CrNbO₆</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO₃</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>23.72</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>-2.63</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂FeMoO₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>23.75</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>-2.53</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂YRuO₆</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>-2.88</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂NiRuO₆</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>34.68</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>-2.55</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂CuRhO₆</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24.95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂Mn₃Rh₄O₁₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.11</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: 4d L₂-edge results as taken from Origin following application of curve fitting function.
Figures 17 & 18: Across both the $L_3$- and $L_2$-edges, a solid trend is shown for the $4d$ row in regards to $t_{2g}$ area vs. $d$-orbital occupancy as expected, with one major outlier in the $L_2$-edge with Sr$_2$YRuO$_6$.

These results show the potential for curve fitting XANES data.
Figures 19 & 20: The values for the $e_g$ feature fluctuate more than desired but it is good to see that they seem centered around a particular range opening up the potential for pinning the values to obtain better future results.
With the $5d$ row, table 3 and 4 outline the Lorentzian values obtained by the curve fitting function in the $L_3$-edge and $L_2$-edge respectively. In every way, except for the far more consistent $e_g$ values, the $5d$ row follows the patterns observed in the $4d$ row albeit with a slight, but noticeable, difference in scale. For instance, in table 3 the difference between the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ widths is more pronounced with the $t_{2g}$ peaks falling between 4.19 eV and 5.67 eV compared to the $e_g$ widths of 6.69 eV to 8.33 eV. Much the same is the case for the center point differences in this edge for the $5d$ row with the average value being 4.2 eV between the two peaks. All in all, the results coming out of the $5d$ row provides a satisfying picture of what is going on for each compound, most importantly following along the $d$-orbital occupancy trends that are to be expected as a proof of concept, which are shown in figures 21 through 24.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Ta$</th>
<th>d Occ.</th>
<th>Area 1</th>
<th>Area 2</th>
<th>Width 1</th>
<th>Width 2</th>
<th>Center 1</th>
<th>Center 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_2$MnTaO$_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.91</td>
<td>29.70</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>-3.95</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$_2$MnWO$_6$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.36</td>
<td>31.21</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>8.18</td>
<td>-4.48</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Re$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$_2$Fe$<em>3$ReO$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>31.67</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>-4.12</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_2$CoReO$_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>29.25</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>-3.41</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba$_2$MnReO$_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.58</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>-3.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$_2$MnReO$_6$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>-3.43</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb$_2$CrReO$_6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.27</td>
<td>27.45</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>-2.09</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn$_2$FeReO$_6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>30.71</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>-3.50</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ir$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$_3$CalrO$_6$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>34.69</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>-3.67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr$_2$ScIrO$_6$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>34.01</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>-2.99</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La$_2$CulrO$_6$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>35.37</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: $5d$ $L_3$-edge results showing area, width, and center point values for both the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ peaks respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>d Occ.</th>
<th>Area 1</th>
<th>Area 2</th>
<th>Width 1</th>
<th>Width 2</th>
<th>Center 1</th>
<th>Center 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sr₄Fe₃ReO₁₂</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.43</td>
<td>24.43</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>-4.58</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn₂CoReO₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>26.63</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>-4.07</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb₂CoReO₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>26.67</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>-4.40</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba₂MnReO₆</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>29.77</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>-3.37</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb₂CrReO₆</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>8.45</td>
<td>-1.87</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb₂CrIrO₆</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.27</td>
<td>25.12</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂CaIrO₆</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>25.58</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>-3.21</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂ScIrO₆</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂CuIrO₆</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: 5d L₂-edge results showing area, width, and center point values for both the t₂g and e₈ peaks respectively.
Figures 21 & 22: The $5d$ row $t_{2g}$ trend is far stronger than for the $4d$ row with fantastic grouping based on $d$-orbital occupancy.

Figure 22: $5d \ L_2$-edge $t_{2g}$ Area vs. Occupancy

Figure 23: $L_3$-edge $e_g$ Area vs. Occupancy
Figures 23 & 24: the $e_g$ plots for the 5$d$ row $L_{2,3}$-edges are more tightly grouped than for the 4$d$ row but there is still room for improvement as the trend should be a flat line.

These values have all been compiled in the search of any interesting patterns inherit to each row and provide further backing to what trends exist in connection with the $d$-orbital occupancy of a TMO. This information was also of great use when going through the later stages of curve fitting in order to have a general idea on the points necessary for the fitting function to track different samples in each row. By this I mean that, rather than having to split up the peaks in each edge, it was possible to use a general set of guiding values for the fitting function thus streamlining the technique. Although this information is useful, it is important to note that the areas are the only ones correlated with $d$-orbital occupancy in a blatant manner. So, there is significant utility in having the full variable set for each compound as it simplifies applying the technique to any TMO seeking to be tested in a similar way.
Having discussed the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ components of the $L_{2,3}$-edges, the plots to dissect next are for the area sums versus $d$-orbital occupancy. These are of interest because the plots produced will show which compounds in particular need further refinement as far as the curve fitting is concerned. It is certainly possible that the fluctuations that are seen in figures 22 and 23 for the 4$d$ row have to do with the XANES data itself because there are more matrix element effects and other potential problem spots present compared to the 5$d$ row. Keeping this in mind as well as the inconsistency of the $e_g$ values seen previously, the area sum plots still provide an adequate, if shaky, showing for the correlation between area and $d$-orbital occupancy.

Figure 25: 4$d$ $L_3$-edge
Area Sum vs. Occupancy
Despite the outliers, there is a trend being followed for the total area in figures 25 and 26 across both the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edges with the $L_3$-edge following the best. Looking back to the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ values, those outliers become more understandable especially when considering the continuum’s contribution. For some of the outliers, it will be shown when looking at examples of their fits that being able clearly tell where the error is coming from although I suspect a significant portion comes from placing the arctangent’s inflection point and this can been seen in figures 27 and 28.
Figures 27 & 28: La$_2$Mn$_3$Rh$_4$O$_{12}$’s $L_3$-edge (Left) and $L_2$-edge (Right) show the difficulty in fitting when the $t_{2g}$ is nonexistent along with the complexity of placing the arctangent component. (Images from Origin 2019b)

With the $5d$ row, the results are far more impressive when looking at the area sums in figures 29 and 30 as well. Considering the $t_{2g}$, $e_g$ splitting was far less pronounced than in the $4d$ row it was somewhat surprising to get. Once again, the compounds that had mostly filled $t_{2g}$ orbitals had a degree of complexity in attempting to fit them. It appears to me that, when fitting the white-line features, there is a degree of “tilting” that should be noticeable in the fit images that is not covered well when the $t_{2g}$ feature drops away. Returning to the $5d$ row results as a whole, there is an incredibly clear trend that is closely followed by the compounds in regards to their total areas and area ratios.
Figures 29 & 30: $L_3$-edge area sum vs. $d$-orbital occupancy (top) and $L_2$-edge area sum vs. $d$-orbital occupancy (bottom) for the 5$d$ row adequately show the decreasing trend that is expected as the number of open states in the $d$-orbital decreases.
Selection of Curve Fits (4d Row): (The following images are from Origin 2019b)

Figures 31 & 32: La$_2$Mn$_3$Rh$_4$O$_{12}$

Figures 33 & 34: La$_2$CuRhO$_6$

Figures 35 & 36: La$_2$NiRuO$_6$
Figures 43 & 44: $\text{Sr}_2\text{CrNbO}_6$

Figures 45 & 46: $\text{NbNd}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{Sr}_2\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_{10-d}$

Figures 47 & 48: $\text{BaZrO}_3$
Selection of Curve Fits (5d Row): (The following images are from Origin 2019b)

Figures 49 & 50: La$_2$CuIrO$_6$

Figures 51 & 52: Sr$_2$ScIrO$_6$

Figures 53 & 54: Sr$_2$CaIrO$_6$
Figures 55: Pb$_2$CrIrO$_6$

Figures 56: Mn$_2$FeReO$_6$

Figures 57 & 58: Pb$_2$CrReO$_6$
Figures 59: Sr$_2$MnReO$_6$

Figures 60 & 61: Ba$_2$MnReO$_6$

Figures 62: Pb$_2$CoReO$_6$
Figures 63 & 64: Mn$_2$CoReO$_6$

Figures 65 & 66: Sr$_4$Fe$_3$ReO$_{12}$

Figures 67: Sr$_2$MnWO$_6$
The Arctangent Continuum:

As should be easily noticed across figures 31 through 68 and has already been briefly discussed, the arctangent component leads to a great deal of complexity. Simply put, the arctangent could be located over a significant range underneath the white-line feature. This is a massive problem that has to be dealt with. In order to make some headway, the continuum has been defined so that it does not come before the $e_g$ feature. The continuum also should not be directly located directly underneath that $e_g$ feature; it should instead come a fair distance past the feature while not being vastly beyond it. The new problem becomes how to determine where in that, still sizable energy range, the arctangent component should be located. Of course, the specific location will change depending on the spectra of different compounds but it should follow some general trend across a row.

With the 4$d$ row, there was a significant amount of uncertainty surrounding the arctangent component of the fitting function, which is reflected in Table 3. This uncertainty was warranted when looking at the results, particularly the $e_g$ area plots shown previously because that is the feature that will be most severely affected by where the arctangent’s inflection point is.
located as it will cut into the Lorentzian if located too close to the peak. When attempting to correct for this it was necessary, however, to not go too far in pushing the arctangent past the peak since the continuum is meant to directly follow each edge. So for this row it is difficult to say for certain where the inflection point is located, although with more time it can likely be pinned down.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Center 2</th>
<th>ArcTan Height</th>
<th>X-Int</th>
<th>ArcTan Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaZrO₃</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NbNd₂₋₅Ce₅Sr₂Cu₂O₁₀₋₁₀</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂CrNbO₆</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoO₃</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂Cr₁₋₂Mo₀₋₄O₆</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂FeMoO₆</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm₂₋₅Mo₂O₇</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr₂YRuO₆</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂NiRuO₆</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂CuRhO₆</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La₂Mn₃Rh₄O₁₂</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1/π</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: 4d L₃-edge arctangent results. Center of e₉ feature also shown for comparison to arctangent inflection point.

Switching over to the 5d row, the previously mentioned and displayed trends are due, largely in part, to being able to more easily place the continuum. This comparative simplicity is likely due to the larger energy range over which the 5d row TMOs WL features cover. That is to say that for a comparable analysis to be conducted between the 4d and 5d rows, the 5d row’s peaks will cover close to twice the energy range. This larger energy range seemed to more completely unlock the fitting function’s capability to resolve and place the continuum. It may also simply be the case that in the 5d row, the continuum is better resolved providing the cleaner
results. This row also ends up having a well displayed trend which becomes apparent when comparing the central point of the \(e_g\) feature to the inflection point of the arctangent continuum which is reflected in Table 4. Regardless, the cooperation of the arctangent for this subset of TMOs goes to show how effective the fitting technique can be.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>Center 2</th>
<th>ArcTan Height</th>
<th>X-Int</th>
<th>ArcTan Width</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca(_2)MnTaO(_6)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(_2)MnWO(_6)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>4.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(_4)Fe(<em>3)ReO(</em>{12})</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(_2)CoReO(_6)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba(_2)MnReO(_6)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(_2)MnReO(_6)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb(_2)CrReO(_6)</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(_2)FeReO(_6)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(_2)CaIrO(_6)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(_2)ScIrO(_6)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La(_2)CuIrO(_6)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1/(\pi)</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: 5d \(L_3\)-edge arctangent results. There does appear to be a trend when comparing the \(e_g\) feature’s center to the inflection point of the arctangent.
ERROR ANALYSIS

The 4d row is problematic when looking at the values that are collected across the XANES data set. Particularly when observing the disparity that appears in the $e_g$ area values, it is evident that the fitting process needs further refinement. In Origin, the $e_g$ areas have averaged standard errors of 0.90 and 0.79 for the $L_3$- and $L_2$-edges respectively. The $t_{2g}$ areas comparatively have averaged standard errors of 0.36 and 0.41 respectively. The $t_{2g}$ error being lower than for $e_g$ is unsurprising considering the $t_{2g}$ values will be less severely impacted by the arctangent component of the fitting function but they can prove difficult to resolve when the only indication to their existence is a small “shoulder” in the XANES spectra.

In the 5d row, a similar difference between the $e_g$ and $t_{2g}$ areas’ standard errors as outlined in Origin is present. Compared to the 4d row, the standard errors attributed to the 5d row are larger. As could be seen in the plots and figures, however, the values themselves are in better agreement with one another. For the $e_g$ areas, the averaged standard errors are 1.22 and 1.53 for the $L_3$- and $L_2$-edges respectively and 1.01 and 1.55 for the $t_{2g}$ areas. For both rows, the error associated with the $L_3$-edge is lower than for the $L_2$-edge, which is likely due to the less intense WL features present in the $L_2$-edge. The lower intensity lends itself to increased difficulty in separating the $t_{2g}$, $e_g$, and continuum components.

The arctangent itself is difficult to quantitatively determine the error for because it is not well enough understood how it should be set up in regards to the inflection point and its width. To simplify the situation, the width was defined by the $e_g$ Lorentzian’s half-width but this is serving to cut back on the number of floating variables. There is the potential that in every case, much like for the height, the width should be fixed to a particular value, which would likely vary by row.
CONCLUSION

The non-linear least squares curve fitting technique displayed here provides substantial proof that such a method could be put to great use in future XANES research. It is able to obtain the systematics of the $L_2$- and $L_3$-edge white-line features with a fair degree of accuracy, particularly for the $5d$ row. There are improvements that need to be made in regards to the $4d$ row breakdown that are directly tied to locating the inflection point of the continuum. Upon such an improvement in the technique, the full potential will be unlocked. Until then, the $t_{2g}$ feature values can be taken with more weight as they are less influenced by the continuum’s effects. The $t_{2g}$ features adequately follow the expected $d$-orbital occupancy trend and should be looked to more highly than the area sum plots. There is still much to learn from the information that can be obtained through curve fitting XANES data.

As for the efficiency of the technique, being able to take normalized XANES data, window it to a useable size, and then drop it into a program such as Origin made for comparatively easy to obtain results. The time factor is also considerable as going from windowed XANES data to results takes only moments so long as the guiding parameters for the fitting function are reasonable for whatever program is being used to follow. That being said, when fitting across a broad collection of XANES $L_{2,3}$ data, it is possible to determine the trend that will be followed when it comes to the guiding parameters making the process far simpler. If the continuum has a definite trend, defining it by that trend in the fitting function will further increase the efficacy of this technique. In the event that the trend is row dependent as I suspect, a tweaked formula could be introduced accordingly.

The results collected have been shown to be encouraging across both rows but particularly the $5d$ row. The sources of error can be simplified down to a determination of how
capable the Lorentzian distribution is at tracking the WL features and the significant complexity in pinpointing the arctangent’s parameters, specifically the width and inflection point. The Lorentzian is effective at capturing the “simpler” cases where there is no asymmetry in the feature being fit but for the samples where the lead in is sharper than the trailing edge, the Lorentzian becomes less effective. Based on my own observations, the arctangent is the most significant source of error. It is undoubtedly capable of skewing the area values for the $e_g$ features when placed incorrectly. During early tests with the fitting function, changes in the width of the arctangent will directly alter the widths that the function attributes to the Lorentzians showing how significant the continuum is to accurately fitting XANES data.

When looking to analyze the results obtained from this technique, it is best executed when there are samples to compare to. The results on their own, with nothing to compare to, do not currently hold significant value. It is when they are placed alongside the results for other compounds that the systematics yield important information. I am curious if it would be possible to establish standard acceptable ranges for the areas to fall under per $d$-orbital occupancy to simplify future testing and the determination of unknowns. In comparing across the 4$d$ and 5$d$ rows, good pictures are drawn outlining the trends in occupancy in each row and with the previously mentioned refinement, such compilations will benefit future studies into the $L_{2,3}$-edges of transition metal oxides.
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