

SETON HALL LAW REVIEW

Volume Twenty-Seven

1997

Number Two

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FIFTH ANNUAL SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR MEDAL OF HONOR

REMARKS OF SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR, ASSOCIATE JUSTICE, UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, RECIPIENT OF THE 1996 SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR MEDAL OF HONOR	383
REMARKS OF DEBORAH T. PORITZ, CHIEF JUSTICE, SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY	387
A TRIBUTE TO JUSTICE SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR FROM AN INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE..... <i>Elizabeth F. Defeis</i>	391

ARTICLES

PRESENTING AND CHALLENGING WITNESSES UNDER NEW JERSEY RULES OF EVIDENCE 607, 611(c), AND 803(a)(1): SHOULD WE VOUCH FOR THE CREDIBILITY OF THESE RULES?.....	<i>Charles J. Walsh</i> <i>Gwen L. Posner</i>	399
---	--	-----

CONSIDERATION AS THE COMMITMENT TO RELINQUISH AUTONOMY	<i>Howard Engelskirchen</i>	490
---	-----------------------------	-----

<CTRL><ALT><DELETE>: RETHINKING FEDERAL COMPUTER CRIME LEGISLATION	<i>Joseph M. Olivenbaum</i>	574
---	-----------------------------	-----

COMMENTS

INTERNET SOUNDS DEATH KNELL FOR USE TAXES: STATES CONTINUE TO SCREAM OVER LOST REVENUES		643
FOR SALE: TWO-BEDROOM HOME WITH SPACIOUS KITCHEN, WALK-IN CLOSET, AND PERVERT NEXT DOOR.....		668

NOTES

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT—DUE PROCESS CLAUSE REQUIRES THAT A STATE PROVIDE FAIR NOTICE OF THE MAGNITUDE OF A PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARD ASSESSED AGAINST A TORTFEASOR—*BMW of N. Am., Inc. v. Gore*, 116 S. Ct. 1589 (1996). 708

DUE PROCESS

FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS—STATE STATUTE PLACING UPON THE DEFENDANT THE BURDEN OF PROVING HIS INCOMPETENCE TO STAND TRIAL ON CRIMINAL CHARGES BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE VIOLATED FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT FUNDAMENTAL DUE PROCESS RIGHTS—*Cooper v. Oklahoma*, 116 S. Ct. 1373 (1996). 735

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT—STATE-CREATED PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS LIBERTY INTERESTS ARE LIMITED TO FREEDOM FROM RESTRAINT WHEN PRISON REGULATIONS DO NOT UNEXPECTEDLY EXCEED THE SENTENCE BUT IMPOSE HARDSHIP ON THE INMATE IN RELATION TO ORDINARY INCIDENTS OF PRISON LIFE—*Sandin v. Conner*, 115 S. Ct. 2293 (1995). 772

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY—CONGRESS'S ARTICLE I POWERS MAY NOT ABROGATE STATE SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY GRANTED BY THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT AND *EX PARTE YOUNG* IS INAPPLICABLE TO SUITS BROUGHT UNDER THE INDIAN GAMING REGULATORY ACT—*Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida*, 116 S. Ct. 1114 (1996). 806

SURVEY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN NEW JERSEY LAW. 837