

SETON HALL LAW REVIEW

Volume Twenty-Three

1993

Number Four

EMERGING ISSUES IN BANKRUPTCY PRACTICE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- AN ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF THE
BANKRUPTCY JUDGE AND THE USE OF
JUDICIAL TIME.....*Honorable Stephen A. Stripp* 1329
- CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN CHAPTER 11:
THE FIDUCIARY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
DIRECTORS AND STOCKHOLDERS OF SOLVENT
AND INSOLVENT CORPORATIONS *Harvey R. Miller* 1467
- TOSSING THE COIN UNDER SECTION 1113:
HEADS OR TAILS, THE UNION WINS..... *Marc S. Kirschner,* 1516
Willis J. Goldsmith, Lawrence P. Gottesman,
Deena B. Jenab, Jay G. Swardenski
- ARE RULE 23 CLASS ACTIONS A
VIABLE ALTERNATIVE TO THE
BANKRUPTCY CODE?*Richard L. Epling* 1555
- SOLICITATION UNDER SECTION 1125 OF
THE BANKRUPTCY CODE: *CENTURY GLOVE* AND
THE FIRST AMENDMENT *Claude D. Montgomery,* 1570
Judith B. Cox,
Edward M. Holtzmann,
Roy S. Kaufman
- RIGHTS OF INDEMNIFICATION AND
CONTRIBUTION AMONG PERSONS LIABLE
FOR FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES*Edward M. Fox* 1600
James Gadsden
- THE RECONSIDERATION OF CONTINGENT
AND DISPUTED CLAIMS UNDER BANKRUPTCY
CODE SECTION 502(j)*Menachem O. Zelmanovitz* 1612
Elana C. Jacobson

GRAMMARIANS AT THE GATE: THE REHNQUIST
COURT'S EVOLVING "PLAIN MEANING"
APPROACH TO BANKRUPTCY JURISPRUDENCE . . *Walter A. Effross* 1636

NOTES

BANKRUPTCY LAW

EXCLUDED PROPERTY—A DEBTOR'S PENSION
PLAN IS EXCLUDED FROM THE BANKRUPTCY
ESTATE BECAUSE ANTI-ALIENATION CLAUSES
IN QUALIFIED ERISA PENSION PLANS
ESTABLISH A TRANSFER RESTRICTION
RECOGNIZABLE UNDER APPLICABLE
NONBANKRUPTCY LAW IN SECTION 541(c)(2)
(*Patterson v. Shumate*, S. Ct. (1992)) 1763

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

PREEMPTION AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY—
FEDERAL CIGARETTE LABELING AND
ADVERTISING ACT HELD NOT TO PREEMPT
STATE COMMON LAW DAMAGE ACTIONS
(*Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc.*, S. Ct. (1992)) 1791

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

FIFTH AMENDMENT—REGULATORY TAKINGS
DEPRIVING ALL ECONOMICALLY VIABLE USE
OF A PROPERTY OWNER'S LAND REQUIRE
JUST COMPENSATION UNLESS THE GOVERNMENT
CAN IDENTIFY COMMON LAW NUISANCE OR
PROPERTY PRINCIPLES FURTHERED BY THE REGULATION
(*Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*, S. Ct. (1992)) 1840

TORTS

WRONGFUL LIFE—A BIRTH DEFECTIVE CHILD
BORN PRIOR TO *ROE V. WADE* DOES NOT HAVE A
VALID CAUSE OF ACTION IN WRONGFUL LIFE
AGAINST THE PHYSICIAN WHO FAILED
TO INFORM ITS MOTHER OF THE
OPTION OF AN ABORTION
(*Hummel v. Reiss*, N.J. (1992)) 1876

*SURVEY OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN
BANKRUPTCY LAW* 1911