Organization Management Journal

Volume 11 | Issue 2 Article 6

4-3-2014

Addressing Difficult Issues in the HRM Bubble

Steven Meisel La Salle University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.shu.edu/omj

Part of the Organizational Behavior and Theory Commons, and the Organizational Communication Commons

Recommended Citation

Meisel, Steven (2014) "Addressing Difficult Issues in the HRM Bubble," Organization Management Journal: Vol. 11: Iss. 2, Article 6.

Available at: https://scholarship.shu.edu/omj/vol11/iss2/6

ISSN: 1541-6518 online

DOI: 10.1080/15416518.2014.929933



TEACHING & LEARNING

Addressing Difficult Issues in the HRM Bubble

Steven Meisel¹

Co-Editor Emeritus

¹Management and Leadership Department, School of Business, La Salle University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

In 2012, a *Forbes Magazine* article by Sylvia Verhauser-Smith claimed that there is no organizational practice more broken than performance management. A big statement, but with the ring of truth. The author listed three reasons for this failure of performance management as an HR intervention: everyone hates it—employees and managers alike; nobody does it well—it's a skill that seemingly fails to be acquired despite exhaustive training efforts; and it fails the test of construct validity—it doesn't do what it was designed to do, that is, increase performance.

The Teaching & Learning Section is pleased to bring you an article that might change your mind about how to think about performance management as a class topic. "The Bubble Factory: Addressing Difficult Issues in HRM" by Drew Harris presents an innovative exercise and classroom design to give

students some practice in setting up a performance management process with an evidence-based outcomes measure to allow participants to see exactly how various interventions succeed in changing performance. The exercise is engaging, timely, and provides an overview of a variety of HRM functions—job description, selection, realistic job preview, training, discipline, performance evaluation, and pay for performance. With this as a teaching tool, we have a good approach to performance management that might refute *Forbes's* pessimistic view of the topic.

REFERENCE

Verhauser-Smith, S. (2012). Three reasons performance management will change in 2013. Retrieved from http://www.forbes.com/sites/sylvia vorhausersmith/2012/12/16/the-new-face-of-performance-management-trading-annual-reviews-for-agile-management