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COURSE DESCRIPTION
This course will introduce students to the study of statecraft: the design of strategies to achieve foreign policy goals; the choice of policy instruments within these strategies; and an assessment of their relative utility in achieving national objectives. This class begins by exploring key theoretical underpinnings of statecraft such as bargaining, power, threats, promises and cost/benefit analysis. It then examines different tools of statecraft, including strategic bombing, economic sanctions, foreign aid and trade, targeted killings, and propaganda. The course analyzes the objectives typically associated with each policy tool, the conditions under which they are most likely to be effective in accomplishing these goals, and debates regarding the proper way to measure effectiveness. Using the conceptual tools studied in class, all students will craft a foreign affairs strategy on a topic of their choice.

REQUIREMENTS
All students are expected to come to class having read the assigned material and prepared to discuss it in an authoritative manner. Class participation is an important component of this class, and will account for 10% of each student’s grade. In addition, there will be a take home midterm that will be handed out on February 27 and due on March 13. The midterm will count for 35% of the class grade. Students will also write a 20 page strategy paper and present their conclusions to the class. For this paper, students will choose a foreign policy case, frame the policy in terms of the analytical tools studied in class. The paper and presentation will account for 45% and 10% respectively. Presentations will be made at the end of the semester and papers are due on May 8, the last day of class.

This course is roughly divided into two sections. The first half of the class is devoted to studying the foundations and tools of statecraft. The second half of class following spring break is devoted to constructing the policy paper. Particularly during this part of the course, you will need to simultaneously (1) read the assigned text, (2) conduct research on foreign (and domestic) policy of your chosen case, and (3) gradually design a viable cost-effective strategy. In order to accomplish the task of designing a strategy we will devote the first part of each class to analyzing the assigned texts. The second part of the class will be devoted to applying the material discussed in that class to your concrete case. Thus, you will not only have to closely read the assigned texts before each class but you will also have to know whether a specific goal is desirable/viable and whether a specific foreign policy tool is available and useful for achieving your country’s specific
goal(s). I will assess your progress weekly because each week at least 30% of students will discuss their work, accomplishments as well as challenges.

Case studies must be chosen in consultation with the instructor, so it behooves all of you to begin thinking about the country you want to research as soon as possible. The paper must include a wide variety of primary and secondary sources and include proper citation of sources and a complete bibliography. The structure of the foreign affairs strategy laid out in the Deibel volume will serve as a basis for the paper, which must include the following:

- Two major foreign policy objectives;
- The international strategic environment as it relates to your country’s objectives;
- The regional strategic environment as it relates to your country’s objectives;
- The domestic context for strategy;
- Foreign policy instruments to be used;
- Possible restraints;
- Linking ends and means.

In addition to the assigned materials, students should keep abreast of major foreign policy issues by reading the New York Times and magazines such as The Economist on a regular basis. The instructor will reference current policy issues to illustrate analytical readings throughout the semester, and it is imperative that students be up to date.

Academic Integrity

Plagiarism and other forms of academic dishonesty will be reported to the administration, and will result in a lowered or failing grade for the course and may lead to dismissal from the School of Diplomacy. See university and school standards for academic conduct here:

http://www.shu.edu/offices/student-life/community-standards/community-standards.cfm

http://www.shu.edu/academics/diplomacy/academic-conduct.cfm.

Students with Disabilities

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Civil Rights Restoration Act, students at Seton Hall University who have a disability may be eligible for accommodations in this course. Should a student require such accommodation, he or she must self-identify at the Office of Disability Support Services (DSS), Room 67, Duffy Hall, provide documentation of said disability, and work with DSS to develop a plan for accommodations. The contact person is Ms. Diane Delorenzo at (973) 313-6003.

JANUARY 23 CLASS 1 INTRODUCTION: WHAT IS STATECRAFT AND HOW WILL IT BE STUDIED IN THIS COURSE?

**Key Questions:** What is statecraft and why should we study it? What are the four key types of statecraft discussed by Baldwin? What is power, and why is it so central to the study of foreign policy? What is the distinction between power resources and influence?

**JANUARY 30 CLASS 2 FOUNDATIONS OF STATECRAFT: POLICY ENGINEERING, THREATS AND PROMISES**


**Key Questions:** Under what conditions are threats/promises more costly? Are positive or negative sanctions necessarily more effective than the other? What type of information would Baldwin contend a policy-maker needs to know in order before choosing how to attempt to exert influence in a given situation? Do we need different conceptual frameworks to analyze military and economic statecraft? What three types of knowledge does Zeilkow contend good policy-making requires? What are the seven components of his policy policy-making process? What is coercive diplomacy? Is it synonymous with military statecraft? Can promises be a component of coercive strategy?


Note: this is a long, 130 page report, albeit with lots of charts, footnotes etc. Read the following, although the entire report is very useful. Executive Summary p. 7-11 and the Introduction p. 13-19. Please note that we will return repeatedly to this case, so if your workload is fairly light now at the beginning of the semester, READ ahead!

As you read the case, apply the readings to it. Zeilikow makes a distinction between policy objectives and policy preferences---identify them in this case. What tools of statecraft were used to achieve the nuclear deal? Identify the threats and promises used in this case to arrive at the deal from both the U.S. and Iranian perspective.

**FEBRUARY 6 CLASS 3 TOOLS OF STATECRAFT I: THE ECONOMIC SANCTIONS DEBATE**
Required:


Emma Ashford, “Not so Smart Sanctions” *Foreign Affairs*, Jan/Feb 2016.

NYT on North Korea Sanctions TBA

Key Questions: What is the precise logic of the process by which sanctions are designed to achieve the goals of the sender country? How do HSE define and measure state goals and the success of sanctions episodes? Lenway compares and contrasts the frameworks employed by HSE and Baldwin, particularly the criteria used to evaluate the effectiveness of sanctions. Which one do you find more compelling for policy-makers? Brooks calls for a more nuanced approach to sanctions, focusing on targeted sanctions designed to impose costs on politically influential groups. How does a country’s regime type affect the likelihood that different types of sanctions will be effective? What are smart sanctions? What are some of the difficulties of implementing smart sanctions? What costs do sanctions impose on the sending country? Does Emma Ashford believe that the sanctions imposed on Russia after its invasion of Ukraine are smart? Do you agree with her assessment of a) how to frame the goals of the countries imposing sanctions and b) their effectiveness? There is a tendency in the literature to view economic sanctions as a more “humane” tool of statecraft than military force. Do Mueller and Mueller agree?

Recommended:


FEBRUARY 13  CLASS 4  TOOLS OF STATECRAFT II: FOREIGN AID, TRADE, INVESTMENT AND FINANCE


Mark Strauss, ”How China’s Rare Earth Weapon Went from Boom to Bust“

NYT Articles on Russia and Gazprom’s cut-off of natural gas to Ukraine.

"Rogue to Vogue? Despite its Reputation, Chinese Aid is Quite Effective” The Economist


Article on North Korea Sanctions TBA

Recommended:


Key Questions: What are supply and influence effects of international trade and the logic by which they exert influence? What are strategic goods? How should one conceptualize the role of foreign aid as an instrument of foreign policy? How should the effectiveness of foreign aid be measured? Conditionality in foreign aid is a controversial topic. What are the arguments made by each side in this debate, and which ones do you find more persuasive? What is the "aid perversity" thesis according to Goldsmith? Does he find evidence to support this? China and Japan have longstanding historical animosities and in recent years tensions over territorial conflicts over the East China Sea have risen. Why does Katz claim that trade will limit conflict between China and Japan? How, if at all, does China’s threat to cut off rare earth metals to Japan make you reassess Katz’s argument? Was China’s use of its rare earth monopoly successful? Does Russia
have an oil and gas weapon, and how should one measure the cost of using it, and assess its relative success? Froman, former USTR makes an argument about why the TPP was in the US strategic interest. Do you agree?

FEB. 20 CLASS 5 TOOLS OF STATECRAFT III: STRATEGIC BOMBING


Recommended:


Key Questions: Pape argues that coercive airpower takes two different forms: punishment and denial. What is the difference in logic underlying these two strategies and which one does he contend is more effective? Why? What is the logic of decapitation? One of the key difficulty in evaluating the relative effectiveness of air power as an instrument of statecraft is isolating its influence from the threat of conventional war. How does Pape contend this can be done? What are the different policy objectives that the Rand study considers and how effectively do they contend airpower can achieve them? What are the U.S. objectives in Syria? How would it rank them and to what extent are they compatible or competing? What are Russia’s policy objectives and how are they seeking to achieve them? Which Syrian and other regional actors (Iran, Saudi Arabia) are targets of the U.S. and Russian influence attempts, and what they trying to influence them to do?

FEB. 27 CLASS 6 TOOLS OF STATECRAFT IV: TARGETED KILLINGS & PROPAGANDA
**Midterm handed out


March 6 No Class Spring Break, students should work on midterm and gathering data for their policy papers

MARCH 13 CLASS 7 STRATEGY: ASSESSING THE INTERNATIONAL CONTEXT
**Midterm Due**


**Key Questions:**
Discuss the overarching strategic vision of your case. What is its international context and how does that constrain or incentivize different strategic options?

MARCH 20 CLASS 8 STRATEGY: ASSESSING THE DOMESTIC CONTEXT
*1 page paper proposal due,


**Key Questions:**
What are the key domestic factors influencing your strategy?

MARCH 27 CLASS 9 STRATEGY: INTERESTS, THREATS, OPPORTUNITIES & POWER


**Key Questions:** What are your country's objectives? Be prepared to justify the objectives, list them in order of priority and justify the ordering.

*Paper outline due

APRIL 3 CLASS 10 STRATEGIC PLANNING: INSTRUMENTS OF STATE POWER

**Key Questions:** what instruments of state power will you use to achieve your objectives? How will you use them? By what mechanisms are they designed to achieve your objectives?

**APRIL 10 CLASS 11 LINKING ENDS AND MEANS & EVALUATING STRATEGY**


**Key Questions:** by what precise linkages is your strategy supposed to achieve your desired objectives? How will your target (s) react? Can you envisage scenarios in which your proposed actions have an alternative effect? Are there back up plans for such contingencies?

**APRIL 17 CLASS 12 STUDENT PRESENTATIONS**

**APRIL 24 CLASS 13 STUDENT PRESENTATIONS**

**MAY 1 CLASS 14 STUDENT PRESENTATIONS**

**MAY 8 CLASS 15 STUDENT PRESENTATIONS**