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The artists in this exhibit collectively define simultaneity as a viewing experience rich in associations and visual phenomena – a pool of conflicting sources and viewpoints that only make sense together in a fictional world. Peculiar and often tense combinations include subjects seen from multiple perspectives; forms that function as windows; objects whose scale vacillates between human-size and the infinite; paint that describes pictorial space while asserting itself in literal space; forms that are at once synthetic and organic, solid and ephemeral, static and kinetic.

The artists herein approach the pictorial notion of simultaneous experience by way of shared and individual roads. Each painter owes a certain debt to cubism and builds on that institution. Emphasizing paint’s materiality, Dannielle Tegeder creates illusionistic images that highlight artifice. Drawing on Pop and Modernist traditions, Steve McCall manipulates scale with forms that are both figurative and landscape-based. Through the use of intuitive and intellectual processes, Nick Lamia constructs what appear to be naturally occurring machines. Meghan Brady employs flat, volumetric forms to create images that are landscapes and pure abstraction. I make solid, freestanding structures that verge on collapse, while referencing pink flesh, metal shards and glowing television screens with those same tenuous structures.
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Essay by Joe Fyfe

The painter Brice Marden once defined the painting as a rectangle of intense activity. This might be a good starting place from which to examine this group of works by younger painters under the general rubric, “simultaneity”. Marden might also agree that the simultaneous is clearly a property of painting: a site where opposites collide, resolve, are orchestrated, mix, mingle and are improvised upon.

All of the selected artists directly address the subject of abstract painting in their work. An overriding principle of simultaneity might be seen to exist in the individual work’s relationships to histories of modernist painting. In the writings of Clement Greenberg much is made of the assimilation of the past in order that the project of making new art moves forward. This is very much in evidence here.

Modernist painting begins, according to some histories, with Baudelaire’s Salon of 1845\(^1\) In the paragraphs on Corot, Baudelaire anticipates Impressionism in his comments on the suggestive power of the painting medium itself: the value of a compelling, expressive and well placed touch is enormous and in general what is complete is not finished. Earlier in the text, Baudelaire observes Delacroix’s visible brushstrokes.

This last reference is perhaps the point furthest back among the allusions to modernist painting decipherable among the works. One of the tools common amongst almost all the participants is the palette knife, which Cezanne’s early paintings are also dominated by. There is the investigation of the compelling and well-placed touch as well as the indexical and reflexive intention of painting with a knife. In Cezanne it was simultaneously a mini-plane, an indicator of direction, a unit measurement of color and then there is the impatience, the subsumed violence. Cezanne was concerned, from the beginning, with solidity—in his early work he troweled the paint on—and solidity is a concern here. It is a quality that contemporary painting continues to return to, as, surprisingly, it is still fighting the same battle that it has since the arrival of the production of Daguerre, Niépce, et al.

The artisanal connotation and illusionistic fragmentation afforded by the palette-knifed mark dominates Meghan Brady’s work, with its viscerally applied colored squares and triangles. It is an element amongst the affirmative surfaces of Steve McCall; it is utilized in the scraped undercurrents of ground in Nick Lamia’s work; Gabriel Phipps approximates its look in the close tones and jagged edges within the interiors of his block-like shapes (though it is perhaps closer to a spatula than a knife) and Danielle Tegeder uses the appearance of the edged swipe in her areas of collaged acrylic as counterpoint to a carefully organized and softly colored array of discretely individual geometric shapes. In this way, simultaneity of sense information arrives; that is, sight informs touch. These painted surfaces

\(^1\) Charles Baudelaire, _Art in Paris 1845 - 1862_ page 13
communicate to the eye through data informed by the fingers and back again.

T.J. Clark, in his book on Impressionism, The Painting of Modern Life: Paris in the Art of Manet and his Followers writes about painting as scepticism, or at least unsureness, as to the nature of representation in art that begins with Manet. Clark posits the undisguised rawness of paint’s materiality among the Impressionists as an exposure of the means of production: the industrial underpinnings of Nineteenth century European society and how it takes on the idea of the artist as worker. Similarly, of the many undercurrents throughout the exhibition, one that brings Marden to mind is a touch of art school intensity - a regular presence in Marden’s paintings; as if the answer is in the art materials and the perspiration required in order to release their expressivity.

One hundred and fifty years later we are still attempting to come to terms with how and what may be represented in painting. (I am reminded here of James Wood’s remark on Flaubert, the Modern, with all its narrow freedoms...). In Matisse’s responses to Gaston Diehl, he states that once the younger artist has acquired the awareness to understand the means of expression at his disposal, he must ask of himself, ‘What do I want?’ and then proceed in his search from the simple to the composite in order to find the answer. This search from the simple to the composite may be at the heart of the idea of simultaneity.

In the curatorial statement for the exhibition, Gabriel Phipps describes the chosen works as a pool of conflicting sources and viewpoints that only make sense together in a fictional world. The chief fiction, to many contemporary minds, is that it is possible to make an autonomous artwork at all. The chosen works operate, to take a detached view, as if the narrative of modernism is just that, a story that has the distinct advantage of its general familiarity among painters and art cognoscenti. Their ostensible subject might be called Scenes from the Age of Internal Exploration and Transformation of the Artwork by Means of its own Specific Language.

But it would be a mistake to read any of the contributions by these five artists as ironic. Herbert Muschamp, writing on the occasion of the architectural partners Herzog and de Meuron receiving the Pritzker prize, observed that they are modern architects whose work extends and transforms the deepest strains in the Western historical tradition. Americans might regard this as a contradiction. They are accustomed to thinking of modernism as a discrete historical chapter, a 20th-century period style to be revisited from time to time as a form of retro taste...these assumptions are far from universally shared.

Elsewhere in the world, architects have sustained historical continuity by building on the ideas of 20th-century designers and exploring their application with new materials and technologies as modernity itself evolves. This statement is a reminder that modernity does evolve, and is still very much on our minds, especially when so much of its expressive means is embedded in historical

Nick Lamia, Untitled, oil on canvas, 35” x 35”, 2007
Meghan Brady, Untitled, oil on linen 16” x 16”, 2009
forms such as architecture and painting.

Nick Lamia’s composites, in their use of diagonals, dynamism and architecture of intersecting furrows and flat color planes maintain, among the worn striations of their surfaces, an echo of the idealism of Russian Suprematism, particularly El Lissitzky, and how the vectors that constitute a structural design element seem to muscularly stretch and strain the rectangle.

A similar dynamism is present in Steve McCall whose curved forms seem to complete themselves beyond the rectangle’s boundaries. McCall borrows a beat from Al Held, insisting, like Held, on a divided-up colored wall of paint. McCall carpenters a more childlike, round-edged composition in contrast to Held, and also miniaturizes it, nodding towards the non-scale that is the contemporary reality of virtual space.

When Phipps makes reference to the fictional in his curatorial essay, it may be in reference to late Guston, who is a touchstone to those who would return imagery, complexity and storytelling, symbolism and drama to the rectangle while still engaging in the challenge of serious painting. Though ostensibly abstract, one detects a prosenium, similar to Picasso’s cubist still-lifes. The solid backgrounds set off the blocky, chafed foreground assemblage of rectangles. The Gustonish, theatre-of-the-absurd dramas come from the textures of the individual units, which confront the viewer as if we were looking at the scarred blocks of a high wall or the squared-off bottoms of so many upturned shoes.

Meghan Brady appears invested in certain aspects of research surrounding the School of Paris, particularly Sonia Delauney and Tachiste artists such as Serge Poliakoff. As anachronistic as these choices may at first appear, the loamy surfaces declare that fertile ground has reached. Brady’s commingling of the heraldic with elements of patchwork (tache means patch), the intrusion of quasi-cubist diagonals and stripes, an emphasis on slight impasto buildup and the ragged edges of geometric shapes, challenge any sustained reading of the decorative.

Dannielle Tegeder also appears to be interested in the more idealist currents of twentieth century abstraction. Constructivism is in the mix, as well as the Bauhaus-centered concrete/spiritual axis that includes Kandinsky, Albers and Moholy-Nagy. As with her confreres, there is an astute involvement with the complexities of color. There is an abundance of buttery yellows, off-greys and pale turquoises, plus a linearity that seems to float among unmoored transparent planes.

This concern with color felt throughout the works is often under-regarded in contemporary painting; but it is and always has been a crux of painting’s simultaneity and in present company is a reminder that one of the attributes of any serious picture is its wholeness. It speaks to its history as a modulated surface. Color is a product of tonal adjustment re-applied to hue. The simultaneity I have been most intent on addressing through out this essay has much to do with the overall seriousness on display in the exhibition. This is evidenced in a willingness to take on the full complexity of painting without recourse to glibness, stylishness or nods towards “provisionality” or “casualism”. Serious art, wrote Iris Murdoch, is a continuous working of meaning in the light of the discovery of some truth.
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As soon as the knot was untagled by suitable analysis the problem simply vanished.
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