Seton Hall University eRepository @ Seton Hall

Praxis Presentations

Praxis Program of the Advanced Seminar on Mission

11-2014

Fostering Reflective Insight in Graduate Speech-Language Pathology Students using Lonergan's Generalized Empirical Method.

Theresa E. Bartolotta

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.shu.edu/praxis-presentations Part of the <u>Speech Pathology and Audiology Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Bartolotta, T.E. (2014). Fostering Reflective Insight in Graduate Speech-Language Pathology Students using Lonergan's Generalized Empirical Method. Poster session presented at the Annual Conference of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, Orlando, FL., November 2014.



Abstract

Lonergan's Theory of Cognition was implemented in a graduate course in speechlanguage pathology. Students were introduced to the **Generalized Empirical Method** as a way to:

- develop reflective practice
- make informed clinical decisions
- increase awareness of critical thinking processes

Bernard Lonergan

Bernard Lonergan, S.J. was a Canadian philosopher who proposed a cognitional theory of mental activities that activate when humans seek to know and understand truth. Lonergan's theory of how humans develop understanding (or the process of knowing) is named the Generalized Empirical Method (GEM).

What is Insight?

Give the next number in the following sequences:

- 5, 15, 25, ...
- 7, 3, -1, ...
- 1, -2, 4, -8,
- 1, 7, 25,

(Cronin, 2005)

How did you solve the problem? What happens when you are thinking?

Contact: Theresa.Bartolotta@Stockton.edu

Fostering Reflective Insight in Graduate Speech-Language Pathology Students using Lonergan's Generalized Empirical Method Theresa Bartolotta, Ph.D., CCC-SLP The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey

Application

Introducing students to a model of human understanding, and exploring how we come to understanding, can lead to reflective insight about their own decision-making processes. This process can inform their thinking so they may form "unconditioned judgments" (Perry, 2004). Development of critical thinking skills, in which the "thinker" is keenly aware of the process of knowing and how that leads to judgment, can limit bias and result in actions that truly serve the needs of the patient.

Implementation

- Learning activities introduced students "think about their own thinking", and describe how they made a judgment.
- Case studies were used to explore the notion of judgment, bias and knowledge.
- Students were asked to question what they knew as they moved towards developing insight.
- Students wrote reflections on their thought processes as they made judgments related to clinical practice

Fundamentals of GEM – Levels of Cognitional Activities

- Be attentive EXPERIENCE DATA
- Be intelligent UNDERSTAND QUESTIONS FOR KNOWLEDGE
- Be reasonable JUDGMENT QUESTIONS FOR REFLECTION
- Be responsible DECIDE QUESTIONS OF VALUE

"It gave me a good platform for decision making in all aspects of communication disorders."

"Helpful to go through the questioning process to make decisions and judgments."

"Sometimes you don't have time to use the model."

Sample Quotes

"It's a simple concept but complicated to use."

Action Plan

- Introduce model using more real-world situations
- Build more alternate scenarios into cases
- Explore use with standardized patients
- Introduce pre- and post-critical thinking assessments
- Utilize more readings about the model from other disciplines

- Time consuming for use in clinical practice



Cronin, B. (2005). *Foundations of philosophy:* Lonergan's cognitional theory and epistemology.(3rd ed.). Nairobi: Consolata Institute of Philosophy Press.

Lonergan, B. (1985). The ongoing genesis of method. A Third Collection. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press.

Perry, D.J. (2004). Self-transcendence: Lonergan's key to integration of nursing theory, research, and practice. Nursing Philosophy, 5, pp. 67-74.

Streeter, C.M. The Glossary Project. The Lonergan Website. Retrieved from: http://lonergan.concordia.ca/index.htm



Student Insights

- 30 of 38 students provided written feedback on instruction in GEM.
- Content analysis conducted on the data. Themes emerged:
 - Questioning process helpful in making judgments
 - Model assisted students in thinking critically
 - Components can be hard to understand
 - More time was needed on application of
 - principles to really integrate into
 - decision making

References